¹Department of Respiratory Medicine, Alfred Hospital, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

DOI: 10.1111/resp.14847

POSITION STATEMENT

Respirology WILEY

Diagnosis and management of hypersensitivity pneumonitis in adults: A position statement from the Thoracic Society of Australia and New Zealand

Hayley Barnes^{1,2,3} | Tamera J. Corte^{4,5,6,7} | Gregory Keir^{8,9} | Yet H. Khor^{2,10,11,12} | Sandhya Limaye^{5,13} | Jeremy P. Wrobel^{14,15} Elizabeth Veitch^{16,17} I John Harrington^{18,19} Leona Dowman^{2,10,11} Lutz Beckert^{20,21} David Milne²² Rebekah De Losa²³ Wendy A. Cooper^{5,7,24,25} Peter T. Bell^{9,26} Pradeep Balakrishnan^{27,28} Lauren K. Troy^{4,5,6,7}

²Respiratory Research@Alfred, School of Translational Medicine, Monash University, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia ³Monash Centre for Occupational and Environmental Health, Monash University, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia ⁴Department of Respiratory and Sleep Medicine, Royal Prince Alfred Hospital, Camperdown, New South Wales, Australia ⁵Faculty of Medicine and Health, University of Sydney, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia ⁶NHMRC Centre of Research Excellence in Pulmonary Fibrosis, Camperdown, New South Wales, Australia ⁷Institute for Academic Medicine, Royal Prince Alfred Hospital, Camperdown, New South Wales, Australia ⁸Department of Respiratory and Sleep Medicine, Princess Alexandra Hospital, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia ⁹Faculty of Medicine, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia ¹⁰Department of Respiratory and Sleep Medicine, Austin Health, Heidelberg, Victoria, Australia ¹¹Institute for Breathing and Sleep, Heidelberg, Victoria, Australia ¹²Faculty of Medicine, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia ¹³Department of Immunology, Concord Hospital, Concord, New South Wales, Australia ¹⁴Advanced Lung Disease Unit, Fiona Stanley Hospital, Perth, Western Australia, Australia ¹⁵School of Medicine, University of Notre Dame Australia, Fremantle, Western Australia, Australia ¹⁶Respiratory Department, Concord Repatriation General Hospital, Concord, New South Wales, Australia ¹⁷Faculty of Medicine, Macquarie University, Macquarie Park, New South Wales, Australia ¹⁸Asthma and Breathing Research Program, The Hunter Medical Research Institute (HMRI), New Lambton, New South Wales, Australia ¹⁹Department of Sleep and Respiratory Medicine, John Hunter Hospital, Newcastle, New South Wales, Australia ²⁰Department of Respiratory Medicine, Te Whatu Ora, Panui Canterbury, New Zealand ²¹Department of Medicine, University of Otago, Christchurch, New Zealand ²²Department of Radiology, Te Toka Tumai, Auckland, New Zealand ²³Respiratory Medicine, Northern Hospital, Epping, Victoria, Australia ²⁴Department of Tissue Pathology and Diagnostic Oncology, NSW Health Pathology, Royal Prince Alfred Hospital, Camperdown, New South Wales, Australia ²⁵School of Medicine, Western Sydney University, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia ²⁶Department of Respiratory and Sleep Medicine, Sunshine Coast University Hospital, Sunshine Coast, Queensland, Australia ²⁷Department of Medicine, St John of God Midland Public Hospital, Perth, Western Australia, Australia ²⁸UWA Medical School, Division of Internal Medicine, University of Western Australia, Perth, Western Australia, Australia

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium. provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes.

© 2024 The Author(s). Respirology published by John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd on behalf of Asian Pacific Society of Respirology.

Correspondence Lauren K. Troy lauren.troy@sydney.edu.au

Handling Editor: Philip Bardin

Abstract

Hypersensitivity pneumonitis (HP) is an immune-mediated interstitial lung disease (ILD) relating to specific occupational, environmental or medication exposures. Disease behaviour is influenced by the nature of exposure and the host response, with varying degrees of lung inflammation and fibrosis seen within individuals. The differentiation of HP from other ILDs is important due to distinct causes, pathophysiology, prognosis and management implications. This Thoracic Society of Australia and New Zealand (TSANZ) position statement aims to provide an up-to-date summary of the evidence for clinicians relating to the diagnosis and management of HP in adults, in the Australian and New Zealand context. This document highlights recent relevant findings and gaps in the literature for which further research is required.

KEYWORDS

clinical respiratory medicine, environmental and occupational health and epidemiology, hypersensitivity pneumonitis, inflammation, interstitial lung disease, pulmonary fibrosis

FOREWORD: HYPERSENSITIVITY PNEUMONITIS—THE LIVED EXPERIENCE

The experience of living with hypersensitivity pneumonitis is impacted enormously by your medical team. My experience was extreme; my life changed with a specialist who provided the prognosis with empathy, allowed me to accept the results, while providing a positive focus on understanding impacts, managing actions and living my best life.

INTRODUCTION

Hypersensitivity pneumonitis (HP) is an immunemediated interstitial lung disease (ILD) caused by aberrant immune response to mostly inhaled environmental antigens in susceptible individuals. A non-inhalational variant of HP can be caused by some medications. The diagnosis of HP includes assessment of relevant clinical, radiological and in some cases histopathological information, ideally presented at an ILD-specific multidisciplinary meeting (MDM). The differentiation of HP from other ILDs is important as the causes, pathophysiology, prognosis and management implications are distinct from other ILDs, with particular focus on the home or workplace of the individual.

This Thoracic Society of Australia and New Zealand (TSANZ)-endorsed position statement aims to provide an up-to-date and comprehensive summary of the evidence for clinicians relating to the aetiology, diagnosis and management of HP in adults in the Australian and New Zealand context. It highlights recent findings and gaps in the literature for which further research is required. Notably, this is not a clinical practice guideline, rather, an expert consensus document synthesising relevant and contemporary evidence for this condition to enrich clinician knowledge for patient care.

METHODS

This position statement was convened by a diverse group of health-care providers from Australia and New Zealand. The expert panel included ten respiratory physicians, an immunologist, two specialist nurses, an exercise physiologist, radiologist, pathologist and consumer representative. Relevant articles were identified by searching Pubmed and MED-LINE, using the terms 'hypersensitivity pneumonitis', 'extrinsic allergic alveolitis', 'nonfibrotic HP', 'fibrotic HP', 'progressive fibrosing interstitial lung disease' and 'progressive pulmonary fibrosis'. Searches were performed up to 24 January 2024, limited to English language articles, and included systematic reviews, randomised controlled trials, prospective and retrospective cohort studies and casecontrolled studies. Each author contributed sections most relevant to their field of expertise, and then had the opportunity to revise the manuscript. The manuscript was reviewed and endorsed by the TSANZ Clinical Care and Resources Subcommittee. This position statement will be available to TSANZ members via the society website, and will be considered for update in 2027.

OVERVIEW OF HYPERSENSITIVITY PNEUMONITIS

Disease definitions

HP is a heterogeneous disease characterized by varying degrees of pulmonary interstitial and peri-bronchiolar inflammation, with or without associated fibrosis. HP results from immune-mediated pulmonary inflammation triggered by exposure to one or more environmental antigens in susceptible and sensitised individuals. Historically, HP (previously known as extrinsic allergic alveolitis) was classified into acute, subacute and chronic subtypes,¹ however this classification system has now been largely abandoned due to

TABLE 1 Features of nonfibrotic and fibrotic HP.

Clinical features	Nonfibrotic HP		Fibrotic HP	
Exposure duration	Short, high intensity exposure; or chronic exposure		Chron no ide past e	nic, low-level exposure; entifiable exposure; or xposure
Clinical symptoms	Sudden onset dyspnoea, cough, fever, malaise, acute respiratory failure		Insidi cough respir	ous onset dyspnoea, a, subacute or chronic atory failure
HRCT features	Typical: Bilateral, diffuse mosaic attenuation (including the three- density sign), ground glass opacities, features of small airways disease (small centrilobular ill- defined nodules; gas trapping on expiration) Other: isolated gas trapping, airspace consolidation, cysts		Typical: Bilateral, peribronchovascular interstitial thickening, traction bronchiectasis, honeycombing with ground glass opacities, mosaic attenuation (three-density sign), diffuse or mid- to-upper zone distribution Other: basal distribution, UIP, fibrotic NSIP, fibrotic OP patterns	
BAL fluid fea	atures	Lymphocytosis		Less frequently lymphocytosis
Histopathological features		Chronic bronchiolitis, peribronchiolar interstitial lymphocyte- predominant inflammation and poorly formed granulomas/giant cells		Chronic fibrosing interstitial pneumonia, poorly formed granulomas (or giant cells), ± features of nonfibrotic HP. Absence of features to suggest an alternate diagnosis
Disease behaviour		Potentially reversible with antigen removal or immunosuppression		Chronic, irreversible, a subgroup demonstrates the

Abbreviations: BAL, bronchoalveolar lavage; HP, hypersensitivity pneumonitis; HRCT, high resolution computed tomography scan; NSIP, non-specific interstitial pneumonia; OP, organising pneumonia; PPF, progressive pulmonary fibrosis; UIP, usual interstitial pneumonia.

PPF subtype

challenges in application, lack of objectivity and discordance with disease behaviour.²⁻⁴ Recently published international diagnostic guidelines recommend HP classification into fibrotic or nonfibrotic phenotypes based on the presence or absence of radiological and/or histopathological fibrosis, supported by the observation that pulmonary fibrosis conveys important prognostic and treatment implications.⁵⁻⁹ In addition to fibrotic and nonfibrotic features, HP may display overlapping clinical and radiological characteristics with other forms of ILD, highlighting the difficulty in confirming the diagnosis and tailoring management in many cases. Table 1 summarizes key differences between fibrotic and nonfibrotic HP phenotypes. It is important to recognise that these phenotypes occur on a disease continuum, noting that many patients exhibit overlapping features at diagnosis, with further evolution over the disease course.

Disease behaviour including the progressive pulmonary fibrosis phenotype

Disease behaviour in HP is highly variable. Individuals with nonfibrotic HP may exhibit more rapid onset of symptoms, inflammatory features on imaging, sometimes constitutional symptoms and generally a favourable response to treatment (including antigen avoidance).^{3,10} In such cases, the causative antigen may be identifiable due to the short latency of symptom onset following exposure. In contrast, fibrotic HP is usually associated with chronic low-level or no clearly identifiable exposure, with affected individuals more likely to experience disease progression, decline in lung function and poorer survival.^{7,8,11} Many with fibrotic HP demonstrate disease behaviour that resembles idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF), with similar rates of forced vital capacity (FVC) decline, risk of acute life-threatening exacerbations and death.^{11–14}

Progressive pulmonary fibrosis (PPF) is a recently defined entity encompassing a subset of non-IPF ILD patients.¹⁵ Several definitions of PPF (with varying names) have been published. The ATS/ERS/JRS/ALAT consensus guideline criteria for PPF include the presence of at least two out of three indices of disease progression over 12 months, including worsening respiratory symptoms, deterioration in lung function and radiologic progression of fibrosis.¹⁵ Increasing recognition of PPF has occurred alongside a paradigm shift in the management of non-IPF fibrotic ILD, with randomised clinical trials demonstrating efficacy of anti-fibrotic therapy in patients with PPF, regardless of the underlying cause.^{16–18} Between 30% and 60% of fibrotic HP cases are reported to display PPF behaviour in prospective registry data.^{11,19}

Incidence and prevalence across different environmental settings

The reported incidence of HP is 0.3-0.9 per 100,000 people, with population data derived from countries other than Australia or New Zealand.³ In international ILD registries, HP accounts for between 2% and 47% of cases, with highest rates in India, and lowest rates in European countries.^{20–22} Region-specific environmental conditions, genetic differences between populations, reporting biases and under- or over-diagnosis may account for these discrepancies. Diagnostic rates for HP (and indeed all ILD) are impacted by imprecise, often non-standardised investigations with varying sensitivity and specificity (discussed in further depth under Diagnosis). In a census of early data from the Australia and New Zealand ILD Registry, 9.4% of 705 ILD participants had a HP diagnosis.²³ In other Australian ILD cohorts, HP accounts for 16%-30% diagnoses.^{24,25} Data for HP prevalence in specific regions and communities within Australia and New Zealand, including First Nations people, are limited. Further research on the interplay between genetic susceptibility

and the environment is needed, particularly in agricultural and industrial settings.

HP is more prevalent in older populations of either sex, although can occur across the age spectrum. Clustering of HP cases is seen in specific occupational and recreational settings, discussed in further detail below; commonplace antigens encountered in the home environment (e.g., moulds, down bedding etc) are likely to be responsible for the majority of occult cases.²⁶⁻²⁸ Incidence spikes have been reported with microbial contamination of building air conditioning units and other water reservoir systems.²⁹⁻³¹ Increased environmental mould concentrations associated with heavy precipitation events and rising global ambient temperatures have been linked with higher rates of respiratory disease, including HP.^{32,33}

SUMMARY

- The spectrum of HP encompasses nonfibrotic and fibrotic forms, developing in response to acute or more chronic and insidious environmental exposures.
- Fibrotic HP patients commonly display PPF disease behaviour, impacting management and prognosis.
- Geographic conditions, cultural and social practices, genetic characteristics and methods for disease detection may influence regional differences in HP prevalence.

IMMUNOPATHOGENESIS

Both innate and adaptive immune responses contribute to acute lung inflammation in HP, with an eventual fibroproliferative response associated with disease chronicity in some susceptible individuals. Inhalational exposures are typically dusts containing multiple inducing or potentiating antigens such as bacterial and fungal pathogens, microbial toxins, volatile organic compounds and other proteins.³⁴ Organic antigens are recognised by conserved pattern recognition receptors on innate immune cells, which stimulate transcription and secretion of pro-inflammatory cytokines.³⁵ Inorganic antigens, including metals and specific drugs, bind to human proteins as haptens to become antigenic.^{34,36} The initial stages of HP are characterised by neutrophil activation and infiltration, up-regulation of adhesion molecules and increased production of Interleukin (IL)-8.37,38 B cell differentiation into antibody-producing plasma cells generates specific immunoglobulin G (IgG) antibodies, which can be detected in serum as 'precipitins'.³⁹ These antibodies can be present in exposed patients even in the absence of disease, thus their role in disease pathogenesis is uncertain.^{39,40} Ongoing exposure in a sensitised host generates

high-affinity antibodies and immune complexes; subsequent activation of the classical complement pathway stimulates macrophage activation and tissue injury.^{34,41,42} Figure 1 depicts factors contributing to HP immunopathogenesis.

Progression to fibrosis is associated with a switch to T_{H2} -mediated inflammation and production of type 2 cytokines IL-4 and IL-13.^{43,44} Fibroproliferation within lung interstitium is further enhanced by neutrophils and fibrocytes. Increasing tissue hypoxia and stiffness acts to perpetuate a feed-forward loop amplifying pro-fibrotic molecular pathways, including those mediated by transforming growth factor (TGF)-beta.^{34,45,46} Notably, the immunological mechanisms detailed above have largely been studied in non-fibrotic 'inflammatory' HP models, with uncertainty about whether the principles can be extrapolated to the fibrotic HP disease entity.

Some recent insights in fibrotic HP pathogenesis have been gained through spatial transcriptomic techniques, single cell molecular sequencing and microbiome analysis. De Sadeleer et al. compared the gene expression profiles in explant lungs of fibrotic HP of varying severity and IPF.⁴⁷ Transcriptome signatures favouring extracellular matrix deposition and T cell-driven antigen presentation/ sensitisation were found in milder disease. Gene expression in more severe disease favoured increased B cell activation and honeycombing-associated signatures, with decreased intracellular homeostasis and endothelial functions.

Through integration of single cell RNA, single cell T cell receptor and bulk RNA sequencing techniques applied to cells obtained from fibrotic HP lung tissue, Wang et al. demonstrated an enhanced inflammatory signature within macrophages and monocytes, increased epithelial mesenchymal transition in fibroblasts and expanded disease-specific cell subpopulations.⁴⁸ The role of microbial influence on disease pathogenesis and fibrotic progression is uncertain. Whilst Invernizzi et al. found distinct microbiome profiles in fibrotic HP compared with IPF and normal controls, there was no association found in this cohort between the lung bacterial burden and survival.⁴⁹ Further characterisation of the fibrotic HP lung microenvironment with the use of emerging technologies may in the future enable precision-medicine approaches to HP classification and management.

RISK FACTORS FOR DEVELOPING HYPERSENSITIVITY PNEUMONITIS

Antigen exposure

Antigen exposure and sensitisation are central to disease pathogenesis. Inducing compounds elicit an inflammatory response in susceptible individuals, as detailed above. The list of such compounds known to be associated with HP is extensive, as summarized in Table 2. The causative exposure cannot be identified in up to two-thirds of individuals with HP, despite a detailed history and multidisciplinary diagnostic evaluation.⁵⁰ For cases where the antigen is unidentified or 'occult', inferior survival has been reported in some

FIGURE 1 The role of T cell subsets in the immunopathogenesis of HP. Antigen presenting cells (APCs) phagocytose antigen, and present processed peptides on Class II major histocompatibility complex (MHC) molecules to $CD4^+$ T cells. T helper 1 (T_H1) differentiation and expansion is promoted by tumour necrosis factor (TNF), IL-12 and interferon gamma (IFNy). The proinflammatory environment leads to macrophage activation, aggregation, and granuloma formation. Differentiation of CD4⁺ T cells into a T_H17 phenotype stimulates IL-17 secretion leading to further inflammation and lung fibrosis. Reduced functional activity of FOXP3⁺ regulatory T cells (Treg) favours a T_H2 CD4+ T cell phenotype and production of cytokines IL-4 and IL-13. This cytokine milieu promotes fibroblast proliferation and production of pro-fibrotic TGFβ, leading to collagen production and extracellular matrix (ECM) deposition.



cohorts,^{10,14,51} but not in others.^{5,52} These conflicting findings may be due to difficulties in confirming true antigen avoidance,⁵³ uncontrolled and retrospective study design, heterogeneity of study populations and insufficient statistical power. Some reports have suggested intensity, duration and nature of antigen exposure are determinants of disease progression,⁵⁴ however it is unclear if strict antigen avoidance can prevent progressive disease once a fibroproliferative response is initiated. Inability to identify or confidently link a causative antigen to the disease during initial evaluation can lead to misdiagnosis of the ILD, and a potential missed opportunity for early and impactful intervention.⁵⁵

Bird-associated HP

Precipitins to proteins from avian feathers, faeces, serum and bloom (the waxy dust coating feathers for waterproofing), can be detected in people with repeated exposures to birds and have been associated with the development of HP. This condition, known as 'bird fancier's disease', 'pigeon breeder's lung' and 'feather duvet lung' may be caused by pigeons, birds of the *Psittaciformes* order (cockatiels, parrots, parrakeets, budgerigars, cockatoos), *Passeriforme* order (canaries, finches), fowl (chickens, ducks, geese, turkeys) and from down feathers within bedding and furniture.⁵⁶ Bird-associated HP is the most common of the HP subtypes, with pigeons being the most frequently reported exposure in this subgroup.^{57,58} Up to 90% of pigeon breeders have detectable IgG antibodies to avian antigen, however only a proportion (6%–20%) are reported to develop HP.⁵⁹

Water damage, rising damp and contaminated water sources associated with HP

HP may be caused by aerosolisation and inhalation of pathogens contaminating water reservoirs or growing on

Source and associated diseases	Domestic and occupational exposures	Antigens	
Organic exposures	Domestic and occupational exposures		
Bird-associated			
Pigeons, doves, parrots, canaries, budgerigars, cockatiels, chickens, geese, ducks, down pillows, quilting	Pigeon breeding and racing Bird rearing/veterinary care Domestic pet ownership Agriculture Feather plucking, domestic use	Proteins from bloom, feathers, serum, droppings, organisms associated with birds (fungi, bacteria, viruses, parasites)	
Water-associated			
Water damage, under-ventilation, contaminated water reservoirs	Domestic: rising damp, vaporisers, humidifiers, air conditioning, CPAP Occupational: heating, ventilation, and air conditioning maintenance	Moulds, bacteria (especially gram negatives and thermophilic <i>Actinomycetes</i>), non- tuberculous mycobacteria, bacterial endotoxins, protozoa (<i>Amoebae</i>)	
Organic matter-associated			
Agriculture, gardening, food manufacture, lumber work	Farming, organic waste handling, grain processing, animal husbandry, lumber milling, wood stripping and manufacture	Moulds, yeasts, bacteria (thermophilic <i>Actinomycetes</i>), grain dust mixtures, mushroom spores, animal fur proteins, insects, mites	
Selected diseases arising from contaminated water	and organic matter sources		
Hot tub lung	Domestic or occupational hot tub and sauna exposure	M. avium complex, M. abscessus, M. fortuitum, M. mucogenicum	
Humidifier lung	Polymicrobial contamination of ultrasonic and steam humidifiers	Thermophilic Actinomycetes, Aspergillus fumigatus, Cladosporium spp, Fusarium spp., Klebsiella oxytoca, bacterial endotoxins, M. gordonae, Amoebae	
Lifeguard lung	Aerosolisation of contaminated water spray features (indoor pool cleaning and lifeguarding)	Gram negative bacteria, for example, <i>Pseudomonas</i> spp., <i>Stenotrophomonas</i> <i>maltophilia</i> ; endotoxins	
Machine operator's lung	Aerosolisation of contaminated water-based metalworking fluid	M. avium complex, M. immunogenum, Bacillus spp., Trichophyton spp., Penicillium spp., Pseudomonas spp., bacterial endotoxin	
Musical instrument HP	Microbes from pooled saliva in wind instruments: bag pipes, saxophone, trombone, bassoon	M. chelonae, M. abscessus, Fusarium spp., Penicillium spp., Ulocladium botrytis, Phoma spp., Stenotrophomonas maltophilia	
Summer-type HP	Mould contamination of Japanese wooden houses	Trichosporon spp.	
Farmer's lung (and variants)	Mouldy hay, silage	Saccharomycetes spp., Aspergillus spp., Absidia corymbifera, Wallemia sebi, Penicllium spp.	
	Soil, compost (gardening, handling of organic waste)	Aspergillus fumigatus, thermophilic Actinomycetes, for example, Saccharopolyspora rectivirgula	
	Contaminated crops: corn, potatoes, onion, nuts, barley, tea, coffee, cane sugar, wine, etc.	Penicillium chrysogenum, Mesophilic streptomyces, Aspergillus spp., Botrytis spp. Thermoactinomyces sacchari, Mucor spp	
	Animal husbandry	Animal fur proteins, micro-organisms in feed	
Woodworker's lung, Suberosis (from cork)	Contaminated cedar, mahogany, pine, redwood, spruce, maple bark, cork	Alternaria spp., Bacillus subtilis, Mucor spp., Rhizopus spp., Pantoea agglomerans, Penicillium spp., Cryptostroma corticale	
Miller's lung, Baker's lung	Contaminated grain and flour dust	Aspergillus spp., Sporobolomyces, grain dust mixture (silica, fungi, insects, mites), wheat weevil	
Mushroom worker's lung	Mouldy compost and mushrooms	Shitake, bunashimeji, himeji, thermophilic <i>Actinomycetes</i>	

RIGHTSLINK

TABLE 2 (Continued)					
Selected diseases arising from contaminated water and organic matter sources					
Salami worker's lung, Cheese washer's lung	Inhalation of mould dust during food manufacture	Aspergillus spp., Cladosporium spp., Penicillium spp., Mucor spp., Rhizopus spp. Cow's milk protein			
Heiner's syndrome	Rare food-induced HP in infants				
Inorganic exposures and diseases	s (selected)				
Chemical alveolitis	Dental products (dental technicians), lacquer, glue	Methyl acrylates			
	Manufacture or occupational use of glue, polyurethane foam, spray paint, plastic, car parts, shoes, rubber, elastic fibres	Acid anhydrides and isocyanates			
	Powder coating (painters)	Triglycidyl isocyanurate			
	Degreaser for metal parts, cleaning agents (e.g., rug-cleaning, spot-cleaning, paint remover)	Trichloroethylene			
Berylliosis	Batteries, metals extraction, dental alloy preparation, electronics	Beryllium			
Hard metal lung disease	Tool sharpening, manufacture, cutting machine operation, diamond polishing	Tungsten carbide, cobalt			
Drug-induced HP	DMARDs, antibiotics, anti-neoplastic agents	Methotrexate, azathioprine, rituximab, penicillins, nitrofurantoin, gemcitabine, bleomycin, immune checkpoint inhibitors			

Abbreviations: CPAP, continuous positive airway pressure; DMARDs, disease modifying anti-rheumatic drugs.

surfaces in poorly ventilated or water-damaged living quarters. Polymicrobial fungal and bacterial contaminants are commonly found in water sources associated with HP outbreaks, such as with water-based metalworking fluid in factories, air conditioning and cooling services and fountain features in indoor pools.²⁹⁻³¹ In domestic settings, contamination of stagnant water that is subject to repeated heating, such as misting vaporisers, humidifiers, wind instruments and CPAP machines, may also lead to HP in susceptible individuals.^{60–63} Implicated pathogens include mould species (e.g., Aspergillus, Penicillium, Cladosporium, Trichosporon and Mucor spp.), protozoa (e.g., Amoebae) and some bacteria [e.g., thermophilic actinomycetes (e.g., Saccharopolyspora rectivirgula); gram negative rods (e.g., Pseudomonas spp., Stenotrophomonas maltophilia); and non-tuberculous mycobacteria (NTM)].^{42,57,64} Bacterial endotoxin may induce or potentiate HP in some cases.^{31,60,63} 'Hot tub lung' is a form of HP associated with frequent use of spas contaminated with Mycobacterium avium complex and other NTM species. 'Summertype' HP is caused by inhalation of the fungus Trichosporon cutaneum known to colonize decaying wood in humid climates, and is typically seen in Japan.⁶⁵

Organic matter associated with HP

'Farmer's lung' refers to HP arising from repeated exposure to organic matter such as mouldy hay, contaminated grain silage, compost and damp soil. Indeed, many agriculturebased occupations can lead to HP development due to acute or chronic inhalation of bacteria, moulds, yeasts and/or animal fur proteins. Crop picking, grain refining, fermented food production, bark stripping, animal husbandry and lumber work are notable examples where risk of HP may be increased. Gardening may be an important exposure source in some individuals. Thermophilic actinomycetes, *Aspergillus* spp. and *Penicillium* spp. are the most frequently reported causes for HP in agricultural settings, with hot and humid conditions ideal for their growth.⁵⁶ Incidence of HP is reportedly 0.5%–4.4% in farming cohorts.⁶⁶

Inorganic compounds associated with HP

Inorganic compounds are rare but important causes for HP, with specific implications for workplace safety and health. Chemicals such as acid anhydrides, isocyanates, methyl acrylates and chloroethylenes are used broadly in the manufacture of synthetic materials and may induce HP when workers are insufficiently protected from inhalation. Exposures to these compounds may also occur with use of paint, sealant, epoxy resins and glues in occupational and domestic settings. Rare cases of HP have been reported in tilers, dental workers, hairdressers, nail technicians, currency workers, laboratory workers and other professions with regular chemical inhalation.⁵⁷ Heavy metals used for industrial purposes such as cobalt, beryllium and zinc can also cause HP.

Drug-induced HP

Specific drugs (e.g., nitrofurantoin, rituximab, penicillins, immune checkpoint inhibitors, cytotoxic agents, etc.) are an important non-inhalational cause of HP, with evidence of increasing incidence of drug-induced ILD due to widespread use of immunogenic agents particularly in oncology.^{67,68} Notably, not all drug associated lung pathology manifests as HP, with other well-described patterns including organising

7

pneumonia (OP), non-specific interstitial pneumonia (NSIP), usual interstitial pneumonia (UIP), eosinophilic ILD and diffuse alveolar damage. Granulomatous (or sarcoidal) pneumonitis, with or without the interstitial inflammation and bronchiolitis seen in HP, is temporally associated with many agents (e.g., methotrexate, fluoxetine, cocaine, procarbazine, etc.), and may represent a spectrum of hypersensitivity responses to inorganic antigens.⁶⁹ A comprehensive list of drugs associated with HP and other lung toxicities is included in the online resource 'Pneumotox'.⁷⁰

Mechanisms for drug-induced HP are poorly understood, however risk is increased with older age, pre-existing lung disease, concomitant lung-toxic therapies such as ionizing radiation and some genetic factors.⁶⁸ Due to the frequency of drug induced-ILD (including DI-HP) in oncology, the National Cancer Institute of the National Institutes of Health have developed a severity grading system, (grade 1—mild to grade 5—fatal).⁷¹ These principles may be useful for broader application to non-oncological drugs.

Genetic risk factors

It is now understood that genetic predisposition plays an important role in the development of HP. Various risk alleles have been associated with the development and severity of HP. While genome wide association study (GWAS) data is limited in HP, GWAS in IPF have identified susceptibility polymorphisms in genes involved in innate immunity, antigen presentation, mucin homeostasis and telomere biology.⁷² This knowledge has led to identification of specific genetic loci implicated in chronic (fibrotic) HP development and disease outcomes. In a study of two large fibrotic HP cohorts, the MUC5B rs35705950 single nucleotide polymorphism was found with increased frequency and was associated with moderate-to-severe radiographic fibrosis.⁷³ This minor allele was associated with reduced survival of borderline significance, with adjusted hazard ratio (HR) 2.01, 95% confidence interval (CI) 0.97-4.20, p = 0.061. The same polymorphism has also been implicated in IPF pathogenesis but with improved survival.⁷⁴ A study comparing tissue transcriptomes in 82 fibrotic HP and 103 IPF subjects identified both shared and distinct patterns of gene expression, with similar MUC5B minor allele frequency.⁷⁵ Variants in telomere maintenance genes,⁷⁶ and shortened peripheral blood leukocyte telomere length^{73,76} have been associated with more extensive fibrosis and inferior survival in both HP and IPF. Other studies have shown associations between HP risk and variants of major histocompatibility complex (MHC) Class II region (HLA-DR and HLA-DQ loci),^{77,78} tumour necrosis factor (TNF)-alpha promotor region (associated with reduced TNF-alpha expression) and immunoproteasome/transporter alleles.79,80 Novel genetic loci (and associated molecular pathways) that are unique to the HP spectrum may be identified through future disease-specific GWAS analyses.

SUMMARY

- Birds, contaminated water reservoirs, and agricultural exposures are common inducers of HP, however a causative antigen is unidentifiable in a proportion of patients.
- Many professions and pastimes are associated with increased HP risk due to antigen sensitisation and repeated exposures.
- An increasing list of therapeutic agents, particularly for oncologic indications, have been associated with development of HP as one of several ILD patterns caused by drugs.
- Several genetic variants have been linked with development and severity of HP.

DIAGNOSIS

Clinical evaluation and multidisciplinary meeting diagnosis

A high index of clinical suspicion for the diagnosis of HP should be maintained in every patient with newly identified ILD. A detailed clinical evaluation for suspected HP should include presenting symptoms and signs, assessment of risk factors relating to HP and ruling out other ILD causes. An exhaustive history of exposures is critical; however, antigen encounters may be overlooked due to varying recollection by the patient or under-emphasis by the clinician. Revisiting the history at subsequent encounters may yield key information, by further prompting or corroborative information from family members.

Confirmation of HP diagnosis involves the integration of clinical assessment, high-resolution computed tomography (HRCT) imaging, and in some cases, bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) and/or lung biopsy, preferably within an ILDspecific MDM. The MDM should consider what is required for high diagnostic confidence, and identify features of nonfibrotic HP and fibrotic HP. Ideally the MDM should comprise of respiratory clinicians, a thoracic radiologist, pathologist, and where available, rheumatologists, immunologists and occupational physicians.^{9,81,82} The opportunity for cross-disciplinary experts to examine key clinical material for consensus diagnosis is of particular importance in HP, where the label may carry major occupational and lifestyle implications. If drug-induced HP is the suspected diagnosis, it is essential to involve the prescribing specialist in disease-specific management decisions. Drug cessation may impact overall prognosis and/or quality of life, necessitating highly specialised knowledge to inform the discussions. Low diagnostic agreement has been reported among experts for HP diagnosis,⁸³ highlighting the challenges of identifying this condition.

Several ancillary tests have been integrated into the diagnostic workup of HP, some of which are described in further detail below. An American Thoracic Society expert working group evaluated the performance characteristics of tools such as antigen-specific serology, specific inhalational challenges, detailed environmental assessment, lymphocyte proliferation testing and HP questionnaires, finding limitations with each due to lack of standardization and variable diagnostic sensitivity.⁸⁴ The need for both clarification of the role of these tests and development of more precise diagnostic biomarkers were highlighted by the working group.

Presenting features

Dyspnoea and cough are often presenting features of HP.^{85–87} Additional reported symptoms include chest discomfort or tightness, often with an exertional component. Constitutional or 'flu-like' symptoms (malaise, fevers and chills) and weight loss are uncommon but more likely in the nonfibrotic (inflammatory) form of HP. Depending on the form of HP, symptoms may develop acutely, over days or weeks or insidiously, over months or years. Episodic worsening of symptoms may follow periods of increased allergen exposure.

The most common auscultatory finding is inspiratory crackles. Wheeze and inspiratory squawks are detected less frequently, and are thought to reflect small airways involvement.⁸⁸ Digital clubbing is seen in a minority.⁸⁷ Exertional and nocturnal oxygen desaturation may be seen, with resting daytime hypoxaemia developing as fibrosis progresses. Pulmonary hypertension and right heart failure may become apparent with advanced disease. Lung function tests commonly demonstrate a restrictive pattern, with reduced spirometric and lung volume measurements and impaired gas transfer, evidenced by reduced diffusing capacity for carbon monoxide (DLCO). Obstruction and evidence of bronchodilator reversibility may also be seen.⁸⁷ Specific inhalation challenges to a select panel of antigens have been shown to elicit a hypersensitivity response (e.g., decline in spirometry, leukocytosis on peripheral blood testing, increase in temperature, development of radiologic changes, oxygen desaturation and/or development of symptoms) in sensitised individuals, however reported sensitivity and specificity for the diagnosis of HP are variable.^{84,89} Due to lack of standardisation, safety considerations and very limited availability for testing, this is not included in the diagnostic evaluation of HP. Notably, there are no laboratories in Australia or New Zealand currently performing these tests for this indication.

Assessing exposures in the clinical history

A thorough and iterative exposure assessment (including domestic, occupational, medication and hobby exposures), is essential. A systematic approach is important, incorporating the nature, duration, frequency and intensity of exposure contact, as well as the temporal relationship with symptom onset, and whether avoidance led to improved symptoms. HP and ILD-specific exposure questionnaires have been developed for this purpose and may aid in identifying causative antigens by providing a more structured framework than clinical history alone.^{58,90,91} Such questionnaires have not been broadly validated, however locally adapted versions including relevant culture- and region-specific exposures may be clinically useful, noting the importance of developing non-English language translated materials.^{84,90}

Smoking is associated with reduced risk of HP compared to IPF, however in those who develop HP, smoking increases risk of progression.⁹² Viral infections may also sensitize the susceptible individual to environmental antigens.⁹³ As with all ILD under evaluation, clinical assessment for autoimmune disease as an alternative or overlapping diagnosis is important. Autoantibodies including antinuclear antibody (ANA), rheumatoid factor (RF), anti-cyclic citrullinated peptide (CCP), anti-topoisomerase 1/Scl-70, anti-Ro/SSA and anti-La/SSB may be detected in patients with HP, with or without overt clinical features of connective tissue disease (CTD). Coinciding HP and autoimmune features have been reported in up to 15% of patients in fibrotic HP cohorts and may portend poorer prognosis.⁹⁴ Where there is difficulty in interpreting the clinical relevance of positive autoantibodies in patients with suspected HP, specialist rheumatology or immunology evaluation may be considered.

Consultation with an occupational or environmental physician or hygienist may be appropriate when an antigen is not clearly identifiable, however, access to such services may be limited. In addition to more detailed history taking and expert knowledge of implicated exposures, these specialists may facilitate home or occupational visits. Causative antigens may be discovered through inspection of ventilation and water stores; identification of water damage, visible mould or other sources of contamination; and sampling of surfaces or air.⁸⁴ Given constrained resources and limited evidence of benefit in this area, specialist involvement may be most useful for workplace evaluation (e.g., contaminated metal-workers fluid) or where distinction between several exposures at different sites is important.

Imaging

Volumetric HRCT imaging is an essential component of HP diagnosis and fibrotic versus non-fibrotic phenotyping to inform prognosis and management. The HRCT protocol consists of supine images captured both at deep inspiration and full expiration. Expiratory films are used to confirm variable lung attenuation due to air trapping. Notably, HRCT findings for the different causes of HP, including organic, inorganic and drug exposures, are indistinguishable from each other.

HRCT findings in non-fibrotic HP

The typical non-fibrotic HP pattern demonstrates diffuse lung abnormalities indicative of parenchymal infiltration, including ground glass opacities (GGO) and mosaic attenuation, and evidence of small airway disease with ill-defined, centrilobular nodules and air trapping on expiratory images (Figure 2A).³ Features of airspace consolidation and cysts may be suggestive but not definitive for HP, within the appropriate clinical context. A mosaic pattern results from areas of increased attenuation secondary to pneumonitis adjacent to areas of normal or low attenuation secondary to bronchiolar obstruction.

HRCT findings in fibrotic HP

Patients with HP may also display features of fibrosis, including reticulation, traction bronchiectasis and honeycombing (Figure 2B). These morphologic features of fibrotic HP portend a poorer prognosis.^{57,52,95} Mosaic attenuation adjacent to normal lung and ground glass is known as the 'three-density' pattern (previously called the 'headcheese sign'), and when present in \geq 5 lobules and in \geq 3 lobes is highly specific for fibrotic HP.⁹⁶

Additional HRCT findings

Concomitant emphysema is reported in 7%–23% fibrotic HP patients (including a proportion without any smoking history).^{97,98} Demographics, age and synergistic effects of tobacco and occupation-related inhalational exposures are among suggested risk factors.^{97,98} Progressive fibrotic HP and concomitant emphysema are associated with the development of pulmonary hypertension (PH), with suggestive features on imaging including right ventricular and atrial

enlargement, and dilated pulmonary artery trunk.⁹⁹ Correlating findings may be evident on clinical examination and can be confirmed with echocardiography and/or right heart catheter, as clinically indicated.

Distinguishing HP from other disease patterns on HRCT

Differentiating HP from other ILDs, especially IPF, may be difficult when atypical imaging features are present. Aside from the typical features detailed above, other radiologic patterns including UIP, NSIP, OP or a combination of these entities may be seen with HP. Several clues may assist with the distinction of atypical fibrotic HP from UIP due to IPF, including distribution of fibrosis, ground glass change and air trapping. Basal-predominant fibrosis is more suggestive of UIP-IPF, whereas diffuse abnormalities, in a craniocaudal or slight upper zone distribution may favour HP. Mosaic attenuation can be present to some degree in association with areas of fibrosis in IPF but is more suggestive of HP when well-demarcated and occurring away from fibrotic areas. The three-density pattern of hypoattenuation, hyperattenuation and normal areas of lung parenchyma enables a high-confidence fibrotic HP diagnosis due to its specificity.96,100 A pragmatic approach for distinguishing UIP-IPF from fibrotic HP has been described by Marinescu and colleagues, integrating features from each of the recent respective international guidelines (reproduced in Figure 3).¹⁰⁰

The role of bronchoalveolar lavage analysis and lung biopsy

Where diagnostic confidence remains low despite clinical history and HRCT, further investigations including BAL and/or lung biopsy may be undertaken.



FIGURE 2 Radiologic features of HP. (A) Nonfibrotic HP demonstrating centrilobular nodules, regions of mosaic attenuation and occasional lung cysts. (B) Fibrotic HP demonstrating reticular fibrosis and architectural distortion associated with background mosaic attenuation.



FIGURE 3 Stepwise algorithm for distinguishing fibrotic HP from IPF. From: Marinescu et al. Integration and Application of Clinical Practice Guidelines for the Diagnosis of Idiopathic Pulmonary Fibrosis and Fibrotic Hypersensitivity Pneumonitis. Chest 2022. Reproduced with permission from publisher.

BAL fluid analysis

Bronchoscopy is performed to obtain BAL fluid for evaluation, including differential cell counts. Lymphocytosis supports a diagnosis of HP, but absence of lymphocytosis does not exclude HP.^{101,102} There is no clear diagnostic threshold for a confident diagnosis of HP; a >40% threshold is used in diagnostic guidelines, but this threshold has limited accuracy (sensitivity 43%, and specificity 86% in a meta-analysis of studies), and lower thresholds (including 30% and 20% lymphocytosis) may be supportive of a diagnosis of HP in the context of other features.^{103,104} The degree of lymphocytosis is inversely correlated with degree of fibrosis, and may be lower in elderly patients and smokers.^{59,104} A low CD4:CD8 BAL lymphocyte ratio may be seen, but this index is poorly sensitive and specific for HP, limiting its utility as a discriminatory index. Other BAL fluid analyses (e.g., cultures, staining and cytology) may be useful for revealing alternate diagnoses, for example, eosinophilic pneumonia, infection and malignancy.

Biopsy techniques

Histopathologic sampling may be required when the diagnosis remains uncertain despite baseline investigations.

IGHTSLINKO)

Surgical lung biopsy (SLB) is most likely to yield sufficient tissue for diagnosis but carries morbidity and mortality risk. Adverse outcomes with SLB are more likely with nonelective biopsy for rapidly progressive disease, male sex, advanced age and multi-morbidity.¹⁰⁵⁻¹⁰⁷ The transbronchial lung cryobiopsy is performed in many centres as a less invasive alternative to SLB with 79%-85% diagnostic yield, and good diagnostic agreement with SLB at MDM.^{108,109} In distinguishing HP from IPF, however, the cryobiopsy may be less reliable than SLB.¹¹⁰ Transbronchial forceps biopsy is unlikely to yield sufficient diagnostic material and thus is not recommended. The decision to proceed to biopsy and choice of technique is influenced by patient factors and available resources. Expert guidelines in both IPF and HP recommend MDM discussion should inform this decision, aiming to minimise unnecessary invasive investigations if the diagnosis can be made through other means.^{3,15}

Histopathological findings

Histologically, nonfibrotic HP classically shows a triad of chronic bronchiolitis, adjacent peribronchiolar interstitial lymphocyte-predominant chronic inflammation and poorly formed interstitial non-necrotising granulomas and/or giant cells (Figure 4A).^{101,111-114} The complete triad of features is

present in 50%–73% of SLB specimens enabling a histologic diagnosis of 'typical for HP', when alternative diagnostic features are absent.^{102,108,115,116} Focal organising pneumonia may be seen, typically in a peribronchiolar distribution.^{111,117} Features suggesting an alternative diagnosis include lymphoid follicles with germinal centres and any-thing more than rare eosinophils or neutrophils.^{3,101,117}

Fibrotic HP is characterized by a chronic fibrosing interstitial pneumonia together with poorly formed nonnecrotising granulomas or giant cells (in some cases), with or without co-existent features of nonfibrotic HP and absence of features to suggest an alternative diagnosis (Figure 4B).³ The three main patterns of interstitial fibrosis are UIP-like, fibrotic NSIP-like and peribronchiolar; a combination of UIP-like fibrosis along with peribronchiolar fibrosis is commonly seen.^{102,111,115,118-120} Bridging fibrosis or peribronchiolar metaplasia may also be observed.^{114,118,121} The presence of co-existent nonfibrotic HP features, interstitial giant cells or poorly formed granulomas, peribronchiolar or bridging fibrosis and less subpleural fibrosis all suggest a diagnosis of fibrotic HP over UIP-IPF.^{118,119} As with imaging patterns, there are no specific distinguishing histopathological characteristics for the different causes of HP. An exception is hot tub lung, where airway-centred granulomata tend to be more well-formed than in classical HP.¹¹³

Serology

Detection of serum-specific IgG antibodies to the suspected offending antigen can sometimes prove useful in guiding avoidance strategies as well as diagnosis. Commonly available tests for identifying sensitisation towards inhaled antigens include serum specific IgG for Saccharopolyspora rectivirgula (formerly known as Micropolyspora faeni, a spore-forming thermophilic bacteria associated with farmer's lung), pigeon and budgerigar proteins (associated with bird fancier's lung) and Aspergillus fumigatus. Serum-specific IgG antibodies can be detected by several techniques. Semi-quantitative immunoprecipitation techniques (Ouchterlony double immunodiffusion method, electrosyneresis or immunoelectrophoresis) are highly specific but technically challenging to perform. Alternative immunoassays include enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) or ImmunoCap, with ELISA demonstrating higher sensitivity.¹²² Correlations have been found between increasing antibody titres (using either assay) and likelihood of disease due to the specific corresponding antigen; conversely, decreased titres have been observed with successful antigen avoidance.¹²³

The diversity of antibody detection methods and antigen preparations, each with distinct performance characteristics and suboptimal standardization, results in significant interlaboratory variability.³⁹ Regardless of methodology, it is noteworthy that positive antibody detection is a marker of exposure and sensitisation, but does not necessarily indicate disease causality.¹²⁴ The concentration, frequency and latency of exposure before development of detectable antibodies is not known. Furthermore, false negative results can occur, and relevant antibodies may be missed in the presence of incorrect serum dilution or inappropriate antigen selection.¹²⁵ In a recent pooled analysis, serum-specific IgG had a sensitivity of 83%, and specificity of 68% for probable HP diagnoses in unspecified ILD populations.⁸⁴ In particular, sensitivity and specificity are reduced in fibrotic disease compared with acute and recurrent disease phenotypes.^{123,126} In practice, serological testing serves as a complementary rather than primary



FIGURE 4 Histopathological features of HP. (A) Nonfibrotic HP showing chronic bronchiolitis, peribronchiolar interstitial chronic inflammation and interstitial giant cells. (B) Fibrotic HP showing interstitial fibrosis (with a non-specific interstitial pneumonia-like pattern in this case), peribronchiolar fibrosis and peribronchiolar chronic inflammation. Interstitial giant cells were also present elsewhere in the biopsy (not shown). (Haematoxylin and eosin stained sections). Original magnification \times 100.

diagnostic tool, with limited ability to definitively confirm or exclude disease. Findings should be interpreted in combination with other clinical parameters.

SUMMARY

- Diagnosis of HP requires a detailed exposure history, HRCT with expiratory sequences and exclusion of other causes of ILD, particularly IPF and CTD-ILD.
- Elevated serum-specific IgG titres for common inhaled antigens associated with HP (e.g., avian proteins, bacteria and fungi) indicate sensitisation but do not confirm disease causality.
- Ancillary testing with serum-specific IgG, BAL fluid analysis and occasionally tissue histopathology, may help to increase diagnostic confidence when clinical and radiologic features are atypical and/or causative antigens are not clearly identified.
- Ideally, all cases of suspected HP should be discussed within an ILD MDM.

TABLE 3 Risk factors for poor prognosis in HP.

Intrinsic factors	Older age ^{26,127}		
	Male sex ^{26,53,127}		
	Presence of concomitant autoimmune features ⁹⁴		
	Genetic (shortened telomere length, rare variants in telomere-related genes, MUC5B rs35705950 minor allele) ^{73,76}		
Exposures	Unidentifiable inciting antigen ^{10,14,51}		
	Duration of exposure to inciting antigen ¹²⁸		
	History of smoking ^{10,129}		
Physiology	Low baseline or decline in FVC ^{14,129}		
	Low baseline DLCO ⁶		
	Desaturation <88% on 6MWT ¹²⁷		
Radiology	Presence and extent of fibrosis on HRCT ^{5,7,52,127,129}		
	UIP pattern or honeycomb cysts on HRCT ^{6,7,127}		
	Absence of air trapping and mosaic attenuation ^{95,127}		
BAL and	Lower lymphocyte count on BAL ^{6,130}		
Histopathology	UIP or fibrotic NSIP pattern ^{6,8}		

Note: Adapted from with permission from Hamblin, Prosch et al. Diagnosis, course, and management of hypersensitivity pneumonitis. *European Respiratory Journal*. 2022.¹²⁴

Abbreviations: DLCO, diffusing capacity for carbon monoxide; FVC, forced vital capacity.

MONITORING

Patients with HP should be monitored closely to ensure treatment goals are met and to assess for disease progression. Review should be undertaken every three- to sixmonths, depending on disease status. Clinical history, lung function tests (particularly FVC and DLCO), six-minute walk tests and periodic HRCT are used to monitor disease. Ancillary testing including echocardiography, polysomnography and bone mineral densitometry, may be indicated over the disease course.

PROGNOSIS

Accurate prediction of HP disease behaviour is an important but elusive goal, and while there are several recognized prognostic variables (Table 3), no single variable can reliably predict prognosis. A study of plasma biomarkers in 589 ILD patients, including 242 (41%) with fibrotic HP, identified a 17-protein signature predictive of progressive disease at baseline blood sampling.¹³¹ Further development and validation of such proteomic platforms for personalised disease prediction may lead to their future translation into clinical practice.

Pattern and extent of pulmonary fibrosis

The extent of fibrosis as well as the presence of traction bronchiectasis and honeycomb cysts signify 'IPF-like' disease behaviour and poorer prognosis in HP.^{7,132} Histopathological analyses have also demonstrated that UIP pattern, fibroblastic foci and dense collagen fibrosis confer a universally poor prognosis in HP.⁸ Contrastingly, radiological features of inflammatory alveolitis (ground glass attenuation) and/or bronchiolitis (air trapping and mosaic attenuation) predict survival benefit in HP.⁹⁵

BAL lymphocytosis

Preliminary data suggest the presence of BAL lymphocytosis may predict response to immunosuppressive treatment in patients with HP, although the optimal lymphocytosis threshold has not been determined.^{133,134} Conversely, the absence of a BAL lymphocytosis is associated with a poorer long term survival.¹³⁰

MANAGEMENT

Antigen avoidance

The initial step in the management of HP is identification and avoidance of active causative exposures. Ongoing exposure is associated with further lung function decline, and removal of exposure may result in improvement.¹⁴ There is little evidence-based guidance on how to remediate exposures in HP, and recommendations are derived from other occupational related respiratory conditions such as asthma.¹³⁵

At a population level, preventative public health measures have been shown to positively impact respiratory health, and may be an important strategy for those at risk of HP. A landmark New Zealand study showed that the intervention of improved insulation in 1350 households from seven low-income communities led to a significant reduction in household mould, reduced incidence in self-reported respiratory symptoms and lower health-care utilization.¹³⁶ Elimination of the source is far more effective than personal protective equipment for all causes of HP (Table 4). Examples include a change in role or location for workplace exposures, and complete removal of birds, feathers and droppings, as well as a deep clean of soft furnishings for avian-associated HP. As detailed in Section 7.1.2, occupational medicine specialists and environmental hygienists can play an important role in evaluating the work and home environment, especially when there are clusters of cases, or the cause for confirmed HP remains occult despite detailed exposure assessment in the clinic.

For suspected drug-induced HP, severity-based recommendations for drug cessation with or without institution of corticosteroids, have been developed for oncological medicines but may be useful to consider for other agents.^{68,71,142} Notably, the level of evidence for these recommendations is low. Given the potential impact on underlying disease control and prognosis, all management decisions should be made in consultation with the treating clinician.

Pharmacotherapy

Where there are ongoing clinical symptoms or lung function decline despite exposure avoidance (or where the exposure is unknown), pharmacotherapy is recommended. There is limited availability of high quality, prospective evidence to inform the optimal management of HP. In the absence of specific therapeutic guidelines, treatment approaches are derived from expert consensus opinion, clinical experience and extrapolation from other inflammatory and fibrotic lung diseases. Previously, the standard treatment regimen included systemic corticosteroids followed by a steroidsparing agent. Although this strategy may be reasonable in many, an increasing understanding of the pathogenesis and disease trajectory of HP and other ILDs highlights the need for more individualized therapeutic pathways. Clinical features that may direct treatment decisions throughout the disease course include extent of radiologic inflammation and/or fibrosis, airways versus parenchymal involvement, physiologic severity, disease behaviour, relevant comorbidities, likelihood of complete remediation from the causative antigen and response or lack of response to prior therapy through serial evaluation (Figure 5).

Careful discussion of anticipated treatment side effects with the patient and their carer(s) is important, as is the establishment and communication of goals of therapy. For

TABLE 4 Exposure remediation and harm minimisation measures.

effectiveness ^a	nitiois bused on	Examples
	Elimination	Change in work role Removal of birds and deep cleaning ¹³⁷ Removal of mould, replacement of plaster board, broken water pipes, ventilation systems
	Substitution	Using fresh metal-working fluids ¹³⁸
		Replacement of fertilizers that cause high dust levels with liquid formulations
	Engineering controls	Storing hay in bales rather than loose to reduce mould growth ¹³⁹ Dry machining or minimum quantity lubricant for machine operation ¹⁴⁰
	Administrative controls	Education and training programs
		Shift rotations to limit number of exposed workers
	Personal protective equipment	Respirators for farmers ¹⁴¹ and other agricultural workers

^aElimination is the most important intervention for mitigating or reversing the impact of antigen exposure on human health. Personal protective equipment whilst important, should be considered the least effective of the strategies for harm minimisation.

nonfibrotic HP, improvement in clinical parameters with therapy may be expected, whereas disease stabilization (or slowing of progression) may be more realistic goals in patients with predominantly fibrotic HP.

Immunosuppression

Corticosteroids

Moderate-to-high dose systemic corticosteroids would usually be considered for HP patients with features of inflammation (e.g., GGO on HRCT, BAL lymphocytosis, well-documented exposure with acute or sub-acute symptom onset). For rapid onset pneumonitis with severe physiologic compromise, induction therapy may comprise of pulsed-dose intravenous methylprednisolone, followed by high-dose oral corticosteroids and often steroid-sparing agents (see below). The quality of supportive evidence for corticosteroids in HP is very low and thus, decision-making for dose and duration may best be informed by clinical judgement of disease-responsiveness and side effect profile. In a randomized controlled trial of 36 patients with acute farmer's lung, prednisolone compared with placebo resulted FIGURE 5 Management approach to HP. Priority must be given to the identification and complete removal of causative antigens in confirmed or suspected HP cases. Initial pharmacotherapy should be guided by the extent of inflammatory and fibrotic features using available clinical data. Non-pharmacologic management focusing on quality of life is crucial for all patients. Regular surveillance is recommended, with introduction of additional or alternative therapies informed by careful consideration of risk versus benefit



in improved DLCO at 1 month, but not in FVC or DLCO at 5 years.¹⁴³ In a retrospective cohort study including both fibrotic and nonfibrotic HP patients, both corticosteroid initiation and antigen avoidance were associated with improved lung function in nonfibrotic HP.⁵¹ Following commencement of corticosteroids, nonfibrotic HP patients experienced a reversal in monthly FVC trends from a decline of 0.35% to an increase of 0.84% (p < 0.001), and a non-significant increase in DLCO of 3.38% (p = 0.081).⁵¹ Neither corticosteroids nor antigen avoidance resulted in statistically significant responses in the fibrotic group. The retrospective nature of the study limits any conclusions regarding immunosuppression in fibrotic HP, however the question of harm versus benefit of such treatment is important to consider in clinical practice. Following corticosteroid initiation, timely re-evaluation (e.g., between 6 and 12 weeks) is important to ensure improvement or stabilization in clinical symptoms and lung function, and to manage steroid-related side effects.

Immunosuppressive agents

A steroid-sparing agent (e.g., mycophenolate mofetil (MMF), azathioprine, cyclophosphamide, rituximab) may enable weaning of corticosteroids and maintenance of therapeutic benefit. In some patients, upfront combination low-to-moderate dose corticosteroids and steroid-sparing therapy may be reasonable, particularly if unacceptable risk of steroid side effects prohibits higher dosing. Several retrospective studies demonstrate a statistically significant improvement in DLCO or FVC following treatment with either MMF or azathioprine.^{144–146} It is uncertain if the modest improvements in DLCO (at best 4.2%) and nearly equivocal FVC in these studies represent clinically important differences, with the greatest benefits appearing to be disease stabilization along with reduction in corticosteroid

dosage. Other studies of fibrotic HP have shown progression despite MMF, azathioprine or corticosteroids.^{51,146} All studies of this nature may be confounded by greater use of immunosuppression in patients with progressive disease behaviour and worse outcomes, compared with those with an inherently favourable prognosis. In a retrospective study of 131 HP patients, MMF or azathioprine use was associated with fewer treatment-emergent side effects than prednisone monotherapy, and similar rates of FVC decline were seen with each of these second agents.¹⁴⁶ Notably, FVC decline was attenuated in the group commenced on MMF or azathioprine after initial prednisone (-0.7% pre-treatment vs. -0.2% posttreatment, p = 0.001), supporting the benefit of timely introduction of these agents in selected patients. Second-line immunosuppressive agents (MMF, azathioprine, methotrexate) have not been compared head-to-head, and so the choice of agent is often guided by potential side effects and clinician experience. Limited evidence suggests type of antigen exposure may influence response to specific agents.¹⁴⁷ There is case series-level evidence only for third-line agents including rituximab in HP.^{148,149} In a population with CTD-ILD or idiopathic NSIP, rituximab combined with MMF had a superior impact on lung function to MMF monotherapy.¹⁵⁰ A higher incidence of infections was observed with combination therapy. A similar study evaluating rituximab in patients with PPF and overlapping inflammatory features, including those with HP, is underway (ClinicalTrials.gov ID: NCT05596786). Accelerated disease following infective exacerbations is an important consideration when prescribing immunosuppression in HP patients with fibrotic features. Increased observed mortality was seen with azathioprine and prednisone in IPF subjects in the PANTHER trial, likely relating to increased vulnerability to infection.¹⁵¹

One retrospective multi-centre study evaluated the impact of MMF (vs. no treatment) on survival in 208 fibrotic

HP patients, stratified into quartiles by peripheral blood telomere length.¹⁵² Patients in the quartile with shortest telomere length had poorest survival, with no benefit seen with MMF use. MMF did confer a mortality benefit in those with higher telomere lengths. Contrastingly, a subsequent multicentre retrospective study by the same authors, expanded to include 938 non-IPF fibrotic ILD patients, found no survival benefit with immunosuppression across the spectrum of telomere lengths, including in the subgroup (~25%) with fibrotic HP.¹⁵³ The poorest outcomes were found with immunosuppression use in patients with telomere length below the 10th centile. Further evidence evaluating the benefits and potential harms of immunosuppression in fibrotic HP is needed.

Despite this uncertainty, when both inflammatory and fibrotic clinical features are present, immunosuppressive therapy may still be reasonable, albeit with a lower expected magnitude of benefit than predominantly inflammatory lung disease.

The duration of treatment for predominantly inflammatory HP is not clear. If clinical remission is achieved, it may be reasonable to taper immunosuppression after 2 years of treatment, particularly when antigen avoidance can be assured. Long-term maintenance with a degree of immunosuppressive therapy (either combination or as monotherapy) is common in clinical practice, particularly in the setting of occult exposure, or if substantial irreversible lung disease has already developed before treatment initiation. Some experts, however, have proposed complete withdrawal of immunosuppression in progressive fibrotic HP where no benefit has been demonstrated.¹⁰² Notably, the evidence for either approach is limited.

Modifiers such as patient preference, disease severity, comorbidities, side effects, telomere length (where available) and expected response to immunosuppression should guide dosage and treatment course for the individual. Where there is a temporal relationship between immunosuppression and disease worsening due to recurrent or severe respiratory tract infections or uncontrolled weight loss from treatment side effects, the decision to cease therapy will be more straightforward. Importantly, fibrotic HP may progress even in the absence of antigen, as considered in further detail below. Three-to-six-monthly clinical assessment, including serial lung function tests, is important. Clinical indices of disease worsening can be evaluated with progress imaging, with HRCT providing more objective quantification of inflammatory and fibrotic features to enable further treatment decisions.

Antifibrotic agents

Patients with fibrotic HP who progress despite antigen remediation, with or without immunosuppression, are considered to have PPF disease behaviour. In such cases, there is good evidence for the introduction of antifibrotic therapy, as supported by the ATS/ERS/JRS/ALAT clinical guidelines for PPF.¹⁵ Both nintedanib and pirfenidone have had

regulatory body approval and subsidization for the indication of IPF in Australia and New Zealand for several years. In addition to IPF, nintedanib can be prescribed for the indication of non-IPF 'PF-ILD' (PPF) in Australia, but not in New Zealand (as of April 2024). Pirfenidone is not authorized for diseases other than IPF in either country. Criteria for accessing nintedanib in Australia through the Pharmaceutical Benefits Scheme are based on the INBUILD trial, (>10% relative FVC% decline or 5% relative FVC% decline plus radiological or clinical progression over 24 months).¹⁸ The INBUILD trial recruited patients with progressive fibrosing ILDs other than IPF (including fibrotic HP), demonstrating reduction in the rate of FVC decline in the nintedanib arm compared to placebo at 52 weeks, with a similar efficacy to IPF.¹⁸ Although underpowered to detect any subgroup differences, subgroup analysis in HP revealed a nonsignificant reduction in FVC decline (73 mL/year, 95% CI -8.6 to 154.8).¹⁵⁴ The RELIEF trial studied 127 PPF patients (21% with fibrotic HP), randomly assigned to pirfenidone or placebo, in addition to background therapy.¹⁶ The study was terminated early due to slow recruitment, but demonstrated a significantly lower decline in FVC in the treatment arm at 48 weeks (p = 0.043). Another study, terminated early due to COVID-19, demonstrated reduced FVC% decline, and improved progression-free survival.¹⁵⁵ A small randomised controlled trial (n = 40) compared pirfenidone plus usual therapy to usual therapy alone in fibrotic HP patients with an absolute FVC decline >5% in the preceding 6 months.¹⁵⁶ Significant between-group differences at 6 months were demonstrated in FVC, DLCO, and 6-minute walk distance (6MWD), favouring pirfenidone.

Evidence for upfront anti-fibrotic therapy (i.e., initiated prior to clinical progression) is limited. A randomised prospective open-label study in 22 fibrotic HP patients (all receiving background prednisone plus azathioprine) found no differences in FVC or DLCO at 12 months using pirfenidone compared to placebo.¹⁵⁷

Ongoing clinical trials

A small number of HP-specific trials are currently in progress, evaluating the role of mycophenolate mofetil (NCT05626387), prednisolone (NCT04402177) and pulmonary rehabilitation (NCT04561479). Numerous clinical trials are recruiting PPF patients (inclusive of fibrotic HP), with recent promising results in phase II trials of agents inhibiting various pro-fibrotic mediators, (NCT05139719, NCT05321082 and NCT05321082).^{158–160} Randomised clinical trials designed specifically for HP cohorts are critically needed. Robust evidence is necessary not only to clarify the role of commonly available treatments such as immunosuppressants and antifibrotic agents, but also for the development of new therapies to improve outcomes in HP.

Current best practice for HP management involves offering all appropriate patients the ability to enrol in suitable clinical trials. In Australia and New Zealand, a list of the active ILD clinical trials, and their recruiting trial sites is available on the Pulmonary Fibrosis Australasian Clinical Trials Network (PACT) website (https://pact. lungfoundation.com.au/). While some patients may have difficulty accessing clinical trials, current efforts are being focused on improving and standardising trials access for all patients with HP across Australia and New Zealand, particularly those in rural and remote settings.

SUMMARY

- The current pharmacotherapeutic approach for nonfibrotic HP typically involves combinations of corticosteroids and other immunosuppressive agents.
- Antifibrotic agent nintedanib is approved for HP patients with progressive fibrotic disease in Australia, but is not yet funded for indications other than IPF in New Zealand. This agent may be used on its own or in addition to immunosuppressive therapies, depending on individual features.
- Clinical trial referral may be appropriate for some HP patients.

Non-pharmacological therapy

In addition to antigen avoidance and pharmacological agents, several non-pharmacological therapies have demonstrated efficacy in HP and apply to the general management of all ILD patients. Pulmonary rehabilitation, oxygen therapy, lung transplantation and palliative care are discussed here. Other measures such as vaccination, monitoring for and managing disease complications such as pulmonary hypertension, and self-management strategies are covered in the 2023 revised TSANZ position statement for treatment of IPF and PPF.¹⁶¹

Pulmonary rehabilitation

People living with HP frequently experience dyspnoea, fatigue, reduced exercise tolerance and diminished quality of life.¹⁶² Although not studied in HP specifically, robust evidence indicates improved symptoms, functional capacity and overall health status with the intervention of pulmonary rehabilitation in ILD cohorts.^{163–165} These improvements are apparent regardless of ILD subtype or disease severity. Participation in pulmonary rehabilitation, particularly those with exertional symptoms, should be encouraged, with data suggesting greater response in those with early disease.¹⁶⁶ Despite the expected benefit, standard guideline-based exercise training strategies may not be optimal for ILD patients.

Pulmonary rehabilitation programs rely on progression of exercise training, and this may not be achieved in all ILD patients. High intensity interval training, as an alternative strategy is currently under investigation in an Australian multi-centre trial.¹⁶⁷ Telerehabilitation appears to confer similar benefits to centre-based pulmonary rehabilitation in patients with chronic respiratory disease including ILD, but outcomes specific to ILD or HP have not been fully elucidated.¹⁶⁸

Oxygen therapy

Patients with HP (and ILD broadly) may develop clinically significant hypoxaemia in the setting of progressive lung fibrosis. Clinical practice guidelines recommend long-term oxygen therapy (≥ 15 h/day) in patients with severe chronic resting hypoxaemia, based on evidence for mortality benefit in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease populations.^{169,170} The benefit of ambulatory oxygen in patients with isolated exertional hypoxaemia is less clear. Although improved exercise performance has been reported in ILD patients using supplemental oxygen in laboratory settings, the impact in daily life is less well defined.^{171,172} A crossover trial of 2-week ambulatory oxygen in fibrotic ILD demonstrated improved quality of life, however the longer-term impact is unknown.¹⁷² A current multi-centre Australian study may provide insight in this area.¹⁷³ Whilst oxygen therapy may improve exercise tolerance, confidence and symptom control, it can be associated with substantial patient and caregiver burden, including embarrassment, fear of running out of oxygen, difficulty managing complex equipment and unmet expectations for symptom relief.¹⁷¹ Access programs and cost reimbursements also vary across jurisdictions. Ambulatory oxygen therapy for use in those with isolated exertional hypoxaemia should, therefore, be a shared decision between patients, caregivers and clinicians, considering the complexities of likely benefits, potential harms and personal circumstances and preferences.

Lung transplantation

ILD (including fibrotic HP) has been the most common worldwide indication for lung transplantation since 2007 and continues to increase.¹⁷⁴ Patients with HP that have a PPF phenotype may have a trajectory similar to IPF and should be referred early for transplant consideration.^{12,175} When determining suitability for referral to a lung transplant centre, considerations should include comorbidities, prognosis, transplant risk and patient goals.¹⁷⁶ While there is no absolute upper age limit, increased recipient age is a risk factor for both 12-month and conditional 5-year survival post lung transplantation.¹⁷⁴

Indications for transplant referral and listing are summarised in previously published guidelines.¹⁷⁴ Lung transplant should be considered for patients with >50% risk of

dying from their disease within 2 years, and >80% likelihood of 5-year post-transplant survival provided there is adequate graft function if transplanted.¹⁷⁶ Factors affecting transplant referral and evaluation in the ILD population include blood type, size matching, breadth of alloimmune sensitisation, advanced age, known telomere disorders, prior corticosteroid use, body mass index, deconditioning, frailty and single versus bilateral lung transplantation.¹⁷⁵ Retrospective cohort studies of transplanted HP patients demonstrate survival rates of 85%-89%, 75%-84% and 70%-84% at 1, 3 and 5 years post-transplant, respectively.^{177,178} Median survival of 9.2 years for HP patients reported in one study was significantly better than survival in transplanted IPF patients.¹⁷⁸ In the same study, recurrence of HP was identified in 6% of grafts post transplantation following reexposure to the culprit antigen.¹⁷⁸ The recurrent disease was manageable with subsequent antigen avoidance and increased immunosuppression.

Palliative care

Palliative care entails both supportive measures for symptom management and end-of-life care. While few studies specifically focus on the HP population, evidence-based strategies for ILD more broadly are applicable. Integration of palliative care in the management of patients with ILD and other diseases with refractory breathlessness can improve symptom control and health-related quality of life, as well as reduced healthcare use and in-hospital deaths.¹⁷⁹⁻¹⁸⁴ Palliative care involvement may be prompted by deterioration in health status, such as hospitalisation, functional decline and initiation of oxygen therapy.¹⁸⁵ There are limited evidence-based supportive measures in alleviating dyspnoea and cough in ILD populations with symptoms often requiring individualised treatment trials. A placebo-controlled crossover study of controlled-release morphine in 44 IPF patients demonstrated a significant reduction in cough frequency with the morphine, supporting the common practice of opiate use in patients with advancing fibrotic lung disease.¹⁸⁶ Timely discussion of end-of-life care, including treatment goals and limitations, with shared decision-making between patients, caregivers and the treating team is desirable, and can improve the illness experience.¹⁸⁷

ACUTE EXACERBATIONS

Acute exacerbations (AE) in HP may relate to continuous and intense antigen exposure, characterized by increased or new mosaic attenuation and air trapping on HRCT, and varying degrees of respiratory compromise. Patients generally respond well to antigen removal and high dose corticosteroids in such instances, however refractory, fatal cases have been reported.⁵⁶ AEs may also develop from other causes, similar to IPF and fibrosing-ILDs in general. Known non-antigen triggers for AE include infection, airway procedures, mechanical ventilation, aspiration, pneumothorax, drug toxicity and ionizing radiation.^{188,189} In one series of patients with biopsy-proven HP, AE of any aetiology were associated with 44.4% in-hospital mortality risk and poorer long-term prognosis compared with those who had no AE.¹⁸⁸ A recent study comparing fibrotic HP and IPF cohorts, however, showed lower 1-year and 3-year incidence of AE in HP patients and more favourable outcomes in AE-HP versus AE-IPF.¹⁹⁰ Putative risk factors for AE in HP include male gender, smoking, decreased lung function and UIP features on HRCT.^{188,191–193}

The optimal treatment for AE in HP and indeed for all fibrotic ILD is uncertain. In the absence of other proven therapies, many clinicians initiate high dose corticosteroids.¹⁹⁴ Antibiotics may be given where bacterial infection is suspected or confirmed. Whilst a lower risk of AE or death was seen in PPF patients on nintedanib over the course of the INBUILD study,¹⁹⁵ there is no evidence to support the initiation of this therapy for the management of AE.

SUMMARY

- Pulmonary rehabilitation should be offered to all patients with HP, with expected benefits in symptoms and functional capacity.
- Oxygen therapy, transplant referral and palliative care strategies are recommended for select groups of patients with progressive fibrotic disease.
- Acute exacerbations, triggered by known or unknown causes, can impact long-term survival. Inpatient management may be necessary, with consideration of high-dose corticosteroids.

FUTURE DIRECTIONS AND CURRENT UNMET NEEDS

Despite progress in the field, many questions remain unanswered regarding pathogenesis, diagnosis and management of HP. Clinical registries and biobanking repositories are critical for accrual of further knowledge, providing opportunities for linking environmental, demographic and genetic factors in context. More work is needed on the identification and characterisation of disease inducers, particularly important in the consideration of antigen-indeterminate disease.

High diagnostic confidence can be elusive in many cases of HP, highlighting the necessity for more precise diagnostic tools. Various biological, genomic and artificial intelligenceaided imaging biomarkers are under evaluation^{196–198} and may one day contribute to a personalised approach to HP and other ILDs. For new technologies to be successfully integrated into practice, however, they must be reliable, highly reproducible and cost-effective.

Pharmacological approaches to HP also require further appraisal. Uncertainty around ideal induction treatments,

maintenance therapies, and exacerbation management arises from limited direct evidence for this population. Whilst current immunosuppressive and antifibrotic treatment options play a role in HP, more individualised approaches may better serve patients due to unique aspects of disease pathobiology. As more therapeutic options become available, the sequence of treatments and whether to withdraw, switch or add needs to be clarified. Furthermore, goals for pharmacological and non-pharmacological interventions should not only be to slow or reverse disease, but also to help patients feel better.

As with all ILD, the impact of disease needs to be recognised and factored into all treatment decisions and management advice. Livelihood, living circumstances and pastimes may be strongly discouraged by clinicians, with consequences beyond the sphere of an individual's health. Patients with HP are known to experience a poorer quality of life compared to other ILD subgroups, possibly due to these factors.¹⁶² In the future, genetic susceptibility profiling for individuals engaging in high-risk occupations or hobbies, or those with affected family members, may allow for more conscientious antigen avoidance strategies and disease prevention. Finally, further investment in public health measures aimed at primary disease prevention must be part of a comprehensive approach to addressing the impact of a changing environment on human health and wellbeing.

CONCLUSION

Encompassing a broad spectrum of causes, manifestations and disease behaviour, the entity of HP in adults presents both diagnostic and management challenges. The profound impact of this diagnosis relates to both direct effects of the disease and the necessity of major lifestyle changes for many. Clinical suspicion of HP should be maintained for all undifferentiated ILD cases, with thorough exposure evaluation essential for antigen identification and remediation. Treatment options are currently guided by the balance of inflammatory and fibrotic features in individuals with the goals of mitigating disease progression and optimising quality of life.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to express their gratitude to Mrs. Lynne Ireland for her invaluable contributions towards the planning and drafting of the position paper, and for sharing her poignant insights at the start of the paper. Dr. Alan Teoh's contributions to the original concept and scope of the position paper are also warmly acknowledged. We thank the TSANZ Clinical Care and Resources Subcommittee for their review and support of this position paper.

FUNDING INFORMATION

This work did not receive any commercial funding with honorary contributions from all authors.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

H. B. reports travel support from Boehringer Ingelheim, Janssen, and United Therapeutics. T. J. C. declares advisory board activities for Boehringer Ingelheim, Bristol Myers Squibb, Pliant, Vicore, Bridge, Roche; funding for travel/ speaking engagements from Bristol Myers Squibb and Boehringer Ingelheim; and unrestricted institutional educational grants from BI, Roche, BMS, Galapagos, Pharmaxis, Vicore in the last 5 years. Y. H. K. reports clinical trial support from Air Liquide Healthcare outside the submitted work. J. P. W. reports honoraria and travel support from Boehringer Ingelheim. E. V. reports advisory board and speaking engagements for Boehringer Ingelheim. L. T. reports advisory board and speakers' fees from Boehringer Ingelheim and research support and speakers' fees from Erbe Elektromedizin GmbH. T.J.C. and L.B. are Editorial Board members of Respirology and were excluded from all editorial decision-making related to this article. The other authors have nothing to disclose.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no new data were created or analysed in this study.

ORCID

Hayley Barnes https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7615-4191 Tamera J. Corte https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5076-8929 Gregory Keir https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9979-8726 Yet H. Khor https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5434-9342 Sandhya Limaye https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0528-1142 Jeremy P. Wrobel https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5165-2138 Elizabeth Veitch https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5165-2138 Elizabeth Veitch https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1542-6250 Leona Dowman https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8122-8063 Lutz Beckert https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8122-8063 Lutz Beckert https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5418-4229 Peter T. Bell https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5418-4229 Peter T. Bell https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5720-052X

Lauren K. Troy bhttps://orcid.org/0000-0002-7426-336X

REFERENCES

- Richerson HB, Bernstein IL, Fink JN, Hunninghake GW, Novey HS, Reed CE, et al. Guidelines for the clinical evaluation of hypersensitivity pneumonitis: report of the subcommittee on hypersensitivity pneumonitis. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 1989;84:839–44.
- Lacasse Y, Selman M, Costabel U, Dalphin JC, Morell F, Erkinjuntti-Pekkanen R, et al. Classification of hypersensitivity pneumonitis: a hypothesis. Int Arch Allergy Immunol. 2009;149:161–6.
- Raghu G, Remy-Jardin M, Ryerson CJ, Myers JL, Kreuter M, Vasakova M, et al. Diagnosis of hypersensitivity pneumonitis in adults. An official ATS/JRS/ALAT clinical practice guideline. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2020;202:e36–69.
- Vasakova M, Morell F, Walsh S, Leslie K, Raghu G. Hypersensitivity pneumonitis: perspectives in diagnosis and management. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2017;196:680–9.
- Vourlekis JS, Schwarz MI, Cherniack RM, Curran-Everett D, Cool CD, Tuder RM, et al. The effect of pulmonary fibrosis on survival in patients with hypersensitivity pneumonitis. Am J Med. 2004;116:662–8.

- Ojanguren I, Morell F, Ramon MA, Villar A, Romero C, Cruz MJ, et al. Long-term outcomes in chronic hypersensitivity pneumonitis. Allergy. 2019;74:944–52.
- Salisbury ML, Gu T, Murray S, Gross BH, Chughtai A, Sayyouh M, et al. Hypersensitivity pneumonitis: radiologic phenotypes are associated with distinct survival time and pulmonary function trajectory. Chest. 2019;155:699–711.
- Wang P, Jones KD, Urisman A, Elicker BM, Urbania T, Johannson KA, et al. Pathologic findings and prognosis in a large prospective cohort of chronic hypersensitivity pneumonitis. Chest. 2017; 152:502–9.
- Fernandez Perez ER, Travis WD, Lynch DA, Brown KK, Johannson KA, Selman M, et al. Diagnosis and evaluation of hypersensitivity pneumonitis: CHEST guideline and expert panel report. Chest. 2021;160:e97–e156.
- Fernandez Perez ER, Swigris JJ, Forssen AV, Tourin O, Solomon JJ, Huie TJ, et al. Identifying an inciting antigen is associated with improved survival in patients with chronic hypersensitivity pneumonitis. Chest. 2013;144:1644–51.
- Hambly N, Farooqi MM, Dvorkin-Gheva A, Donohoe K, Garlick K, Scallan C, et al. Prevalence and characteristics of progressive fibrosing interstitial lung disease in a prospective registry. Eur Respir J. 2022;60: 2102571.
- Brown KK, Martinez FJ, Walsh SLF, Thannickal VJ, Prasse A, Schlenker-Herceg R, et al. The natural history of progressive fibrosing interstitial lung diseases. Eur Respir J. 2020;55:2000085.
- Kolb M, Bondue B, Pesci A, Miyazaki Y, Song JW, Bhatt NY, et al. Acute exacerbations of progressive-fibrosing interstitial lung diseases. Eur Respir Rev. 2018;27:180071.
- Gimenez A, Storrer K, Kuranishi L, Soares MR, Ferreira RG, Pereira CAC. Change in FVC and survival in chronic fibrotic hypersensitivity pneumonitis. Thorax. 2018;73:391–2.
- Raghu G, Remy-Jardin M, Richeldi L, Thomson CC, Inoue Y, Johkoh T, et al. Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (an update) and progressive pulmonary fibrosis in adults: an official ATS/ERS/JRS/ALAT clinical practice guideline. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2022;205: e18–47.
- Behr J, Prasse A, Kreuter M, Johow J, Rabe KF, Bonella F, et al. Pirfenidone in patients with progressive fibrotic interstitial lung diseases other than idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (RELIEF): a double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled, phase 2b trial. Lancet Respir Med. 2021;9:476–86.
- Maher TM, Corte TJ, Fischer A, Kreuter M, Lederer DJ, Molina-Molina M, et al. Pirfenidone in patients with unclassifiable progressive fibrosing interstitial lung disease: a double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled, phase 2 trial. Lancet Respir Med. 2020;8:147–57.
- Flaherty KR, Wells AU, Cottin V, Devaraj A, Walsh SLF, Inoue Y, et al. Nintedanib in progressive fibrosing interstitial lung diseases. N Engl J Med. 2019;381:1718–27.
- Nasser M, Larrieu S, Si-Mohamed S, Ahmad K, Boussel L, Brevet M, et al. Progressive fibrosing interstitial lung disease: a clinical cohort (the PROGRESS study). Eur Respir J. 2021;57:2002718.
- Thomeer MJ, Costabel U, Rizzato G, Poletti V, Demedts M. Comparison of registries of interstitial lung diseases in three European countries. Eur Respir J. 2001;18:114S.
- Schweisfurth H. Report by the scientific working Group for Therapy of lung diseases: German fibrosis register with initial results. Pneumologie. 1996;50:899–901.
- Singh S, Collins BF, Sharma BB, Joshi JM, Talwar D, Katiyar S, et al. Interstitial lung disease in India. Results of a prospective registry. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2016;195:801–13.
- Moore I, Wrobel J, Rhodes J, Lin Q, Webster S, Jo H, et al. Australasian interstitial lung disease registry (AILDR): objectives, design and rationale of a bi-national prospective database. BMC Pulm Med. 2020;20:257.
- 24. Barnes H, Watson A, Ellis S, Goh N, Westall GP, Holland AE, et al. Chronic hypersensitivity pneumonitis: a prospective cohort analysis of an under-recognized clinical entity [abstract]. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2018;197:A1104.

GHTSLINK

- Jo HE, Glaspole IN, Levin KC, McCormack SR, Mahar AM, Cooper WA, et al. Clinical impact of the interstitial lung disease multidisciplinary service. Respirology. 2016;21:1438–44.
- 26. Fernandez Perez ER, Kong AM, Raimundo K, Koelsch TL, Kulkarni R, Cole AL. Epidemiology of hypersensitivity pneumonitis among an insured population in the United States: a claims-based cohort analysis. Ann Am Thorac Soc. 2018;15:460–9.
- Fenclova Z, Pelclova D, Urban P, Navratil T, Klusackova P, Lebedova J. Occupational hypersensitivity pneumonitis reported to the Czech National Registry of Occupational Diseases in the period 1992-2005. Ind Health. 2009;47:443–8.
- Morell F, Villar A, Montero MA, Munoz X, Colby TV, Pipvath S, et al. Chronic hypersensitivity pneumonitis in patients diagnosed with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis: a prospective case-cohort study. Lancet Respir Med. 2013;1:685–94.
- 29. Banaszak EF, Thiede WH, Fink JN. Hypersensitivity pneumonitis due to contamination of an air conditioner. N Engl J Med. 1970;283: 271-6.
- Burton CM, Crook B, Scaife H, Evans GS, Barber CM. Systematic review of respiratory outbreaks associated with exposure to waterbased metalworking fluids. Ann Occup Hyg. 2012;56:374–88.
- Rose CS, Martyny JW, Newman LS, Milton DK, King TE Jr, Beebe JL, et al. 'Lifeguard lung': endemic granulomatous pneumonitis in an indoor swimming pool. Am J Public Health. 1998;88:1795–800.
- 32. Paudel B, Wang Z, Zhang Y, Rai MK, Paul PK. Climate change and its impacts on Farmer's livelihood in different physiographic regions of the trans-boundary Koshi River basin, Central Himalayas. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2021;18:7142.
- Woodcock A. Moulds and asthma: time for indoor climate change? Thorax. 2007;62:745–6.
- 34. Vasakova M, Selman M, Morell F, Sterclova M, Molina-Molina M, Raghu G. Hypersensitivity pneumonitis: current concepts of pathogenesis and potential targets for treatment. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2019;200:301–8.
- Wolff CH. Innate immunity and the pathogenicity of inhaled microbial particles. Int J Biol Sci. 2011;7:261–8.
- Matsuno O. Drug-induced interstitial lung disease: mechanisms and best diagnostic approaches. Respir Res. 2012;13:39.
- Vogelmeier C, Krombach F, Münzing S, König G, Mazur G, Beinert T, et al. Activation of blood neutrophils in acute episodes of farmer's lung. Am Rev Respir Dis. 1993;148:396–400.
- Villar A, Muñoz X, Sanchez-Vidaurre S, Gómez-Ollés S, Morell F, Cruz MJ. Bronchial inflammation in hypersensitivity pneumonitis after antigen-specific inhalation challenge. Respirology. 2014;19: 891–9.
- Quirce S, Vandenplas O, Campo P, Cruz MJ, de Blay F, Koschel D, et al. Occupational hypersensitivity pneumonitis: an EAACI position paper. Allergy. 2016;71:765–79.
- Cormier Y, Létourneau L, Racine G. Significance of precipitins and asymptomatic lymphocytic alveolitis: a 20-yr follow-up. Eur Respir J. 2004;23:523–5.
- 41. Costabel U, Miyazaki Y, Pardo A, Koschel D, Bonella F, Spagnolo P, et al. Hypersensitivity pneumonitis. Nat Rev Dis Primers. 2020;6:65.
- Barnes H, Troy L, Lee CT, Sperling A, Strek M, Glaspole I. Hypersensitivity pneumonitis: current concepts in pathogenesis, diagnosis, and treatment. Allergy. 2022;77:442–53.
- Barrera L, Mendoza F, Zuñiga J, Estrada A, Zamora AC, Melendro EI, et al. Functional diversity of T-cell subpopulations in subacute and chronic hypersensitivity pneumonitis. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2008;177:44–55.
- 44. Mitaka K, Miyazaki Y, Yasui M, Furuie M, Miyake S, Inase N, et al. Th2-biased immune responses are important in a murine model of chronic hypersensitivity pneumonitis. Int Arch Allergy Immunol. 2011;154:264–74.
- 45. García de Alba C, Buendia-Roldán I, Salgado A, Becerril C, Ramírez R, González Y, et al. Fibrocytes contribute to inflammation and fibrosis in chronic hypersensitivity pneumonitis through paracrine effects. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2015;191:427–36.

- Girard M, Israël-Assayag E, Cormier Y. Impaired function of regulatory T-cells in hypersensitivity pneumonitis. Eur Respir J. 2011;37: 632–9.
- 47. De Sadeleer LJ, McDonough JE, Schupp JC, Yan X, Vanstapel A, Van Herck A, et al. Lung microenvironments and disease progression in fibrotic hypersensitivity pneumonitis. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2022;205:60–74.
- Wang J, Zhang L, Luo L, He P, Xiong A, Jiang M, et al. Characterizing cellular heterogeneity in fibrotic hypersensitivity pneumonitis by single-cell transcriptional analysis. Cell Death Discov. 2022;8:38.
- 49. Invernizzi R, Wu BG, Barnett J, Ghai P, Kingston S, Hewitt RJ, et al. The respiratory microbiome in chronic hypersensitivity pneumonitis is distinct from that of idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2021;203:339–47.
- Mokhlis J, Robertson A, Moore V, Burge P, Walters G. S106 distribution of occupational and non-occupational causes in hypersensitivity pneumonitis diagnosed by an interstitial lung disease expert panel. Thorax. 2017;72:A64–5.
- 51. De Sadeleer LJ, Hermans F, De Dycker E, Yserbyt J, Verschakelen JA, Verbeken EK, et al. Effects of corticosteroid treatment and antigen avoidance in a large hypersensitivity pneumonitis cohort: a singlecentre cohort study. J Clin Med. 2018;8:8.
- Hanak V, Golbin JM, Hartman TE, Ryu JH. High-resolution CT findings of parenchymal fibrosis correlate with prognosis in hypersensitivity pneumonitis. Chest. 2008;134:133–8.
- Creamer AW, Barratt SL. Prognostic factors in chronic hypersensitivity pneumonitis. Eur Respir Rev. 2020;29:190167.
- 54. Maher TM. Chronic hypersensitivity pneumonitis; an enigmatic and frequently fatal disease. Eur Respir Rev. 2020;29:200177.
- Buendia-Roldan I, Aguilar-Duran H, Johannson KA, Selman M. Comparing the performance of two recommended criteria for establishing a diagnosis for hypersensitivity pneumonitis. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2021;204:865–8.
- Nogueira R, Melo N, Novais EBH, Martins N, Delgado L, Morais A, et al. Hypersensitivity pneumonitis: antigen diversity and disease implications. Pulmonology. 2019;25:97–108.
- Barnes H, Lu J, Glaspole I, Collard HR, Johannson KA. Exposures and associations with clinical phenotypes in hypersensitivity pneumonitis: a scoping review. Respir Med. 2021;184:106444.
- Petnak T, Moua T. Exposure assessment in hypersensitivity pneumonitis: a comprehensive review and proposed screening questionnaire. ERJ Open Res. 2020;6:00230-2020.
- Morell F, Roger A, Reyes L, Cruz MJ, Murio C, Munoz X. Bird fancier's lung: a series of 86 patients. Medicine. 2008;87:110–30.
- Hara M, Yashiro Y. A case of humidifier lung; the key diagnosis is detailed medical history taking. Respirol Case Rep. 2023;11:e01200.
- Chang HC, Lan CC, Wu YK, Su WL, Yang MC. Hypersensitivity pneumonitis due to unclean continuous positive airway pressure equipment. Clin Respir J. 2018;12:1721–4.
- Soumagne T, Reboux G, Metzger F, Roussel S, Lefebvre A, Penven E, et al. Fungal contamination of wind instruments: immunological and clinical consequences for musicians. Sci Total Environ. 2019;646:727–34.
- 63. Shimoda M, Morimoto K, Tanaka Y, Ken O, Yoshimori K. Humidifier lung induced by endotoxin and various pathogens: characteristic differences from other phenotypes of hypersensitivity pneumonitis. Respir Med Case Rep. 2020;31:101267.
- Kespohl S, Warfolomeow I, Merget R, Bruning T, Raulf M. Hypersensitivity pneumonitis due to metal working fluids: detection of specific IgG antibodies to microbial antigens. Respir Physiol Neurobiol. 2023; 315:104107.
- Ando M, Suga M, Nishiura Y, Miyajima M. Summer-type hypersensitivity pneumonitis. Intern Med. 1995;34:707–12.
- 66. Liu S, Chen D, Fu S, Ren Y, Wang L, Zhang Y, et al. Prevalence and risk factors for farmer's lung in greenhouse farmers: an epidemiological study of 5,880 farmers from Northeast China. Cell Biochem Biophys. 2015;71:1051–7.
- Spagnolo P, Bonniaud P, Rossi G, Sverzellati N, Cottin V. Druginduced interstitial lung disease. Eur Respir J. 2022;60:2102776.

- Skeoch S, Weatherley N, Swift AJ, Oldroyd A, Johns C, Hayton C, et al. Drug-induced interstitial lung disease: a systematic review. J Clin Med. 2018;7:356.
- Schwaiblmair M, Behr W, Haeckel T, Markl B, Foerg W, Berghaus T. Drug induced interstitial lung disease. Open Respir Med J. 2012;6: 63–74.
- Camus P. The drug-induced respiratory disease website [Internet]. [Accessed: 2023 Sep 10].
- 71. Program CTE. Common terminology criteria for adverse events [Internet]. [Accessed: 2023 Sep 11].
- Allen RJ, Guillen-Guio B, Oldham JM, Ma S-F, Dressen A, Paynton ML, et al. Genome-wide association study of susceptibility to idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2019;201: 564–74.
- 73. Ley B, Newton CA, Arnould I, Elicker BM, Henry TS, Vittinghoff E, et al. The MUC5B promoter polymorphism and telomere length in patients with chronic hypersensitivity pneumonitis: an observational cohort-control study. Lancet Respir Med. 2017;5:639–47.
- Peljto AL, Zhang Y, Fingerlin TE, Ma SF, Garcia JG, Richards TJ, et al. Association between the MUC5B promoter polymorphism and survival in patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. JAMA. 2013; 309:2232–9.
- Furusawa H, Cardwell JH, Okamoto T, Walts AD, Konigsberg IR, Kurche JS, et al. Chronic hypersensitivity pneumonitis, an interstitial lung disease with distinct molecular signatures. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2020;202:1430–44.
- Ley B, Torgerson DG, Oldham JM, Adegunsoye A, Liu S, Li J, et al. Rare protein-altering telomere-related gene variants in patients with chronic hypersensitivity pneumonitis. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2019;200:1154–63.
- 77. Falfán-Valencia R, Camarena A, Pineda CL, Montaño M, Juárez A, Buendía-Roldán I, et al. Genetic susceptibility to multicase hypersensitivity pneumonitis is associated with the TNF-238 GG genotype of the promoter region and HLA-DRB1*04 bearing HLA haplotypes. Respir Med. 2014;108:211–7.
- Camarena A, JuÁRez A, MejÍA M, Estrada A, Carrillo G, FalfÁN R, et al. Major histocompatibility complex and tumor necrosis factor-α polymorphisms in pigeon Breeder's disease. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2001;163:1528–33.
- 79. Camarena Á, Aquino-Galvez A, Falfán-Valencia R, Sánchez G, Montaño M, Ramos C, et al. PSMB8 (LMP7) but not PSMB9 (LMP2) gene polymorphisms are associated to pigeon breeder's hypersensitivity pneumonitis. Respir Med. 2010;104:889–94.
- Aquino-Galvez A, Camarena A, Montaño M, Juarez A, Zamora AC, González-Avila G, et al. Transporter associated with antigen processing (TAP) 1 gene polymorphisms in patients with hypersensitivity pneumonitis. Exp Mol Pathol. 2008;84:173–7.
- National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis in adults diagnosis and management. https://www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK553262/ [Accessed: 2023 Dec 18] 2013.
- Burge PS, Reynolds J, Trotter S, Burge GA, Walters G. Histologist's original opinion compared with multidisciplinary team in determining diagnosis in interstitial lung disease. Thorax. 2017;72:280–1.
- Walsh SLF, Wells AU, Desai SR, Poletti V, Piciucchi S, Dubini A, et al. Multicentre evaluation of multidisciplinary team meeting agreement on diagnosis in diffuse parenchymal lung disease: a case-cohort study. Lancet Respir Med. 2016;4:557–65.
- 84. Johannson KA, Barnes H, Bellanger AP, Dalphin JC, Fernandez Perez ER, Flaherty KR, et al. Exposure assessment tools for hypersensitivity pneumonitis. An official American Thoracic Society workshop report. Ann Am Thorac Soc. 2020;17:1501–9.
- Hanak V, Golbin JM, Ryu JH. Causes and presenting features in 85 consecutive patients with hypersensitivity pneumonitis. Mayo Clin Proc. 2007;82:812–6.
- Walters GI, Mokhlis JM, Moore VC, Robertson AS, Burge GA, Bhomra PS, et al. Characteristics of hypersensitivity pneumonitis diagnosed by interstitial and occupational lung disease multi-disciplinary team consensus. Respir Med. 2019;155:19–25.

- Lacasse Y, Selman M, Costabel U, Dalphin JC, Ando M, Morell F, et al. Clinical diagnosis of hypersensitivity pneumonitis. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2003;168:952–8.
- 88. Pereira CAC, Soares MR, Boaventura R, Castro MDC, Gomes PS, Gimenez A, et al. Squawks in interstitial lung disease prevalence and causes in a cohort of one thousand patients. Medicine. 2019;98: e16419.
- Munoz X, Sanchez-Ortiz M, Torres F, Villar A, Morell F, Cruz MJ. Diagnostic yield of specific inhalation challenge in hypersensitivity pneumonitis. Eur Respir J. 2014;44:1658–65.
- Barnes H, Chambers D, Grainge C, Corte TJ, Bastiampillai S, Frenkel S, et al. Clinical utility of a standardized chronic hypersensitivity pneumonitis exposure questionnaire. Respirology. 2023;28: 366–72.
- Polke M, Kirsten D, Teucher B, Kahn N, Geissler K, Costabel U, et al. A comparison of existing questionnaires for identifying the causes of interstitial and rare lung diseases. Respiration. 2020;99:119–24.
- Blanchet MR, Israël-Assayag E, Cormier Y. Inhibitory effect of nicotine on experimental hypersensitivity pneumonitis in vivo and in vitro. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2004;169:903–9.
- 93. Cormier Y, Israël-Assayag E. The role of viruses in the pathogenesis of hypersensitivity pneumonitis. Curr Opin Pulm Med. 2000;6:420–3.
- Adegunsoye A, Oldham JM, Demchuk C, Montner S, Vij R, Strek ME. Predictors of survival in coexistent hypersensitivity pneumonitis with autoimmune features. Respir Med. 2016;114:53–60.
- 95. Chung JH, Zhan X, Cao M, Koelsch TL, Manjarres DCG, Brown KK, et al. Presence of air trapping and mosaic attenuation on chest computed tomography predicts survival in chronic hypersensitivity pneumonitis. Ann Am Thorac Soc. 2017;14:1533–8.
- Barnett J, Molyneaux PL, Rawal B, Abdullah R, Hare SS, Vancheeswaran R, et al. Variable utility of mosaic attenuation to distinguish fibrotic hypersensitivity pneumonitis from idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. Eur Respir J. 2019;54:1900531.
- Cottin V, Selman M, Inoue Y, Wong AW, Corte TJ, Flaherty KR, et al. Syndrome of combined pulmonary fibrosis and emphysema: an official ATS/ERS/JRS/ALAT research statement. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2022;206:e7–e41.
- Jacob J, Odink A, Brun AL, Macaluso C, de Lauretis A, Kokosi M, et al. Functional associations of pleuroparenchymal fibroelastosis and emphysema with hypersensitivity pneumonitis. Respir Med. 2018;138: 95–101.
- Remy-Jardin M, Ryerson CJ, Schiebler ML, Leung ANC, Wild JM, Hoeper MM, et al. Imaging of pulmonary hypertension in adults: a position paper from the Fleischner Society. Eur Respir J. 2021;57: 2004455.
- 100. Marinescu DC, Raghu G, Remy-Jardin M, Travis WD, Adegunsoye A, Beasley MB, et al. Integration and application of clinical practice guidelines for the diagnosis of idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis and fibrotic hypersensitivity pneumonitis. Chest. 2022;162:614–29.
- 101. Miller R, Allen TC, Barrios RJ, Beasley MB, Burke L, Cagle PT, et al. Hypersensitivity pneumonitis a perspective from members of the Pulmonary Pathology Society. Arch Pathol Lab Med. 2017;142: 120-6.
- 102. Salisbury ML, Myers JL, Belloli EA, Kazerooni EA, Martinez FJ, Flaherty KR. Diagnosis and treatment of fibrotic hypersensitivity pneumonia. Where we stand and where we need to go. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2017;196:690–9.
- Morell F, Roger À, Reyes L, Cruz MJ, Murio C, Muñoz X. Bird fancier's lung: a series of 86 patients. Medicine (Baltimore). 2008;87:110–30.
- 104. Morisset J, Johannson KA, Jones KD, Wolters PJ, Collard HR, Walsh SLF, et al. Identification of diagnostic criteria for chronic hypersensitivity pneumonitis: an international modified Delphi survey. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2018;197:1036–44.
- 105. Hutchinson JP, Fogarty AW, McKeever TM, Hubbard RB. In-hospital mortality after surgical lung biopsy for interstitial lung disease in the United States. 2000 to 2011. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2016;193:1161–7.
- Hutchinson JP, McKeever TM, Fogarty AW, Navaratnam V, Hubbard RB. Surgical lung biopsy for the diagnosis of interstitial lung disease in England: 1997-2008. Eur Respir J. 2016;48:1453–61.

- 107. Pastre J, Khandhar S, Barnett S, Ksovreli I, Mani H, Brown AW, et al. Surgical lung biopsy for interstitial lung disease. Safety and feasibility at a tertiary referral center. Ann Am Thorac Soc. 2021;18:460–7.
- 108. Troy LK, Grainge C, Corte TJ, Williamson JP, Vallely MP, Cooper WA, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of transbronchial lung cryobiopsy for interstitial lung disease diagnosis (COLDICE): a prospective, comparative study. Lancet Respir Med. 2020;8:171–81.
- 109. Kheir F, Uribe Becerra JP, Bissell B, Ghazipura M, Herman D, Hon SM, et al. Transbronchial lung cryobiopsy in patients with interstitial lung disease: a systematic review. Ann Am Thorac Soc. 2022;19: 1193–202.
- 110. Cooper WA, Mahar A, Myers JL, Grainge C, Corte TJ, Williamson JP, et al. Cryobiopsy for identification of usual interstitial pneumonia and other interstitial lung disease features. Further lessons from COL-DICE, a prospective multicenter clinical trial. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2021;203:1306–13.
- 111. Castonguay MC, Ryu JH, Yi ES, Tazelaar HD. Granulomas and giant cells in hypersensitivity pneumonitis. Hum Pathol. 2015;46:607–13.
- 112. Grunes D, Beasley MB. Hypersensitivity pneumonitis: a review and update of histologic findings. J Clin Pathol. 2013;66:888–95.
- 113. Myers JL. Hypersensitivity pneumonia: the role of lung biopsy in diagnosis and management. Mod Pathol. 2012;25:S58–67.
- Takemura T, Akashi T, Ohtani Y, Inase N, Yoshizawa Y. Pathology of hypersensitivity pneumonitis. Curr Opin Pulm Med. 2008;14:440–54.
- 115. Churg A, Muller NL, Flint J, Wright JL. Chronic hypersensitivity pneumonitis. Am J Surg Pathol. 2006;30:201–8.
- 116. Ohtani Y, Saiki S, Kitaichi M, Usui Y, Inase N, Costabel U, et al. Chronic bird fancier's lung: histopathological and clinical correlation. An application of the 2002 ATS/ERS consensus classification of the idiopathic interstitial pneumonias. Thorax. 2005;60:665–71.
- Franks TJ, Galvin JR. Hypersensitivity pneumonitis: essential radiologic and pathologic findings. Surg Pathol Clin. 2010;3:187–98.
- 118. Akashi T, Takemura T, Ando N, Eishi Y, Kitagawa M, Takizawa T, et al. Histopathologic analysis of sixteen autopsy cases of chronic hypersensitivity pneumonitis and comparison with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis/usual interstitial pneumonia. Am J Clin Pathol. 2009;131:405–15.
- 119. Churg A, Bilawich A, Wright JL. Pathology of chronic hypersensitivity pneumonitis what is it? What are the diagnostic criteria? Why do we care? Arch Pathol Lab Med. 2018;142:109–19.
- Churg A, Sin DD, Everett D, Brown K, Cool C. Pathologic patterns and survival in chronic hypersensitivity pneumonitis. Am J Surg Pathol. 2009;33:1765–70.
- 121. Chiba S, Tsuchiya K, Akashi T, Ishizuka M, Okamoto T, Furusawa H, et al. Chronic hypersensitivity pneumonitis with a usual interstitial pneumonia-like pattern: correlation between histopathologic and clinical findings. Chest. 2016;149:1473–81.
- 122. Bellanger AP, Reboux G, Rouzet A, Barrera C, Rocchi S, Scherer E, et al. Hypersensitivity pneumonitis: a new strategy for serodiagnosis and environmental surveys. Respir Med. 2019;150:101–6.
- 123. Shiroshita A, Tanaka Y, Nakashima K, Furukawa Y, Kataoka Y. Diagnostic accuracy of specific IgG antibodies for bird fancier's lung: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Ann Transl Med. 2019;7:655.
- 124. Hamblin M, Prosch H, Vašáková M. Diagnosis, course and management of hypersensitivity pneumonitis. Eur Respir Rev. 2022;31: 210169.
- Chan AL, Juarez MM, Leslie KO, Ismail HA, Albertson TE. Bird fancier's lung: a state-of-the-art review. Clin Rev Allergy Immunol. 2012; 43:69–83.
- 126. Shirai T, Tanino Y, Nikaido T, Takaku Y, Hashimoto S, Taguchi Y, et al. Screening and diagnosis of acute and chronic bird-related hypersensitivity pneumonitis by serum IgG and IgA antibodies to bird antigens with ImmunoCAP[®]. Allergol Int. 2021;70:208–14.
- 127. Lima MS, Coletta EN, Ferreira RG, Jasinowodolinski D, Arakaki JS, Rodrigues SC, et al. Subacute and chronic hypersensitivity pneumonitis: histopathological patterns and survival. Respir Med. 2009;103: 508–15.
- de Gracia J, Morell F, Bofill JM, Curull V, Orriols R. Time of exposure as a prognostic factor in avian hypersensitivity pneumonitis. Respir Med. 1989;83:139–43.

- 129. Mooney JJ, Elicker BM, Urbania TH, Agarwal MR, Ryerson CJ, Nguyen MLT, et al. Radiographic fibrosis score predicts survival in hypersensitivity pneumonitis. Chest. 2013;144:586–92.
- 130. De Sadeleer LJ, Hermans F, De Dycker E, Yserbyt J, Verschakelen JA, Verbeken EK, et al. Impact of BAL lymphocytosis and presence of honeycombing on corticosteroid treatment effect in fibrotic hypersensitivity pneumonitis: a retrospective cohort study. Eur Respir J. 2020; 55:1901983.
- Bowman WS, Newton CA, Linderholm AL, Neely ML, Pugashetti JV, Kaul B, et al. Proteomic biomarkers of progressive fibrosing interstitial lung disease: a multicentre cohort analysis. Lancet Respir Med. 2022; 10:593–602.
- 132. Jacob J, Bartholmai BJ, Egashira R, Brun AL, Rajagopalan S, Karwoski R, et al. Chronic hypersensitivity pneumonitis: identification of key prognostic determinants using automated CT analysis. BMC Pulm Med. 2017;17:81.
- 133. Raimundo S, Melo N, Mota P, Guimarães S, Souto Moura C, Cunha R, et al. Factors predicting response to azathioprine in chronic hypersensitivity pneumonitis—the importance of BAL lymphocytosis. Eur Respir J. 2018;52:PA2978.
- 134. Wälscher J, Gross B, Bruhwyler J, Morisset J, Heussel CP, Lasitschka F, et al. Prognostic impact of bronchoalveolar lavage lymphocytosis in patients with chronic hypersensitivity pneumonitis. Eur Respir J. 2018;52:OA3817.
- Copeland CR, Collins BF, Salisbury ML. Identification and remediation of environmental exposures in patients with interstitial lung disease: evidence review and practical considerations. Chest. 2021;160: 219–30.
- 136. Howden-Chapman P, Matheson A, Crane J, Viggers H, Cunningham M, Blakely T, et al. Effect of insulating existing houses on health inequality: cluster randomised study in the community. BMJ. 2007;334:460.
- 137. Craig TJ, Hershey J, Engler RJ, Davis W, Carpenter GB, Salata K. Bird antigen persistence in the home environment after removal of the bird. Ann Allergy. 1992;69:510–2.
- Respiratory illness in workers exposed to metalworking fluid contaminated with nontuberculous mycobacteria—Ohio, 2001. JAMA. 2002; 287:3073–4.
- 139. Cormier Y. Hypersensitivity pneumonitis (extrinsic allergic Alveolitis): a Canadian historical perspective. Can Respir J. 2014;21:128940.
- 140. Burge PS. Hypersensitivity pneumonitis due to metalworking fluid aerosols. Curr Allergy Asthma Rep. 2016;16:59.
- Müller-Wening D, Repp H. Investigation on the protective value of breathing masks in farmer's lung using an inhalation provocation test. Chest. 1989;95:100–5.
- 142. Schneider BJ, Naidoo J, Santomasso BD, Lacchetti C, Adkins S, Anadkat M, et al. Management of immune-related adverse events in patients treated with immune checkpoint inhibitor therapy: ASCO Guideline Update. J Clin Oncol. 2021;39:4073–126.
- 143. Kokkarinen JI, Tukiainen HO, Terho EO. Effect of corticosteroid treatment on the recovery of pulmonary function in farmer's lung. Am Rev Respir Dis. 1992;145:3–5.
- 144. Morisset J, Johannson KA, Vittinghoff E, Aravena C, Elicker BM, Jones KD, et al. Use of mycophenolate mofetil or azathioprine for the management of chronic hypersensitivity pneumonitis. Chest. 2017; 151:619–25.
- 145. Fiddler CA, Simler N, Thillai M, Parfrey H. Use of mycophenolate mofetil and azathioprine for the treatment of chronic hypersensitivity pneumonitis—a single-centre experience. Clin Respir J. 2019;13: 791–4.
- 146. Adegunsoye A, Oldham JM, Fernandez Perez ER, Hamblin M, Patel N, Tener M, et al. Outcomes of immunosuppressive therapy in chronic hypersensitivity pneumonitis. ERJ Open Res. 2017;3: 00016-2017.
- 147. Mullin ML, Fernandez G, Marinescu DC, Zheng B, Wong AW, Assayag D, et al. Impact of antigen exposure on outcomes and treatment response in fibrotic hypersensitivity pneumonitis. Chest. 2024; 165:1435–1443.

- 148. Keir GJ, Maher TM, Ming D, Abdullah R, de Lauretis A, Wickremasinghe M, et al. Rituximab in severe, treatment-refractory interstitial lung disease. Respirology. 2014;19:353–9.
- 149. Ferreira M, Borie R, Crestani B, Rigaud P, Wemeau L, Israel-Biet D, et al. Efficacy and safety of rituximab in patients with chronic hypersensitivity pneumonitis (cHP): a retrospective, multicentric, observational study. Respir Med. 2020;172:106146.
- 150. Mankikian J, Caille A, Reynaud-Gaubert M, Agier MS, Bermudez J, Bonniaud P, et al. Rituximab and mycophenolate mofetil combination in patients with interstitial lung disease (EVER-ILD): a doubleblind, randomised, placebo-controlled trial. Eur Respir J. 2023;61: 2202071.
- IPF Network. Prednisone, azathioprine, and N-acetylcysteine for pulmonary fibrosis. N Engl J Med. 2012;366:1968–77.
- 152. Adegunsoye A, Morisset J, Newton CA, Oldham JM, Vittinghoff E, Linderholm AL, et al. Leukocyte telomere length and mycophenolate therapy in chronic hypersensitivity pneumonitis. Eur Respir J. 2021; 57:2002872.
- 153. Zhang D, Adegunsoye A, Oldham JM, Kozlitina J, Garcia N, Poonawalla M, et al. Telomere length and immunosuppression in non-idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis interstitial lung disease. Eur Respir J. 2023;62:2300441.
- 154. Wells AU, Flaherty KR, Brown KK, Inoue Y, Devaraj A, Richeldi L, et al. Nintedanib in patients with progressive fibrosing interstitial lung diseases-subgroup analyses by interstitial lung disease diagnosis in the INBUILD trial: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel-group trial. Lancet Respir Med. 2020;8:453–60.
- 155. Fernandez Perez ER, Crooks JL, Lynch DA, Humphries SM, Koelsch TL, Swigris JJ, et al. Pirfenidone in fibrotic hypersensitivity pneumonitis: a double-blind, randomised clinical trial of efficacy and safety. Thorax. 2023;78:1097–104.
- Shebl E, Hamdy T. Evaluation of the efficacy of pirfenidone in progressive chronic hypersensitivity pneumonitis. Egypt J Bronchol. 2021; 15:18.
- 157. Mateos-Toledo H, Mejía-Ávila M, Rodríguez-Barreto Ó, Mejía-Hurtado JG, Rojas-Serrano J, Estrada A, et al. An open-label study with Pirfenidone on chronic hypersensitivity pneumonitis. Arch Bronconeumol (Engl Ed). 2020;56:163–9.
- 158. Corte TJ, Lancaster L, Swigris JJ, Maher TM, Goldin JG, Palmer SM, et al. Phase 2 trial design of BMS-986278, a lysophosphatidic acid receptor 1 (LPA(1)) antagonist, in patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF) or progressive fibrotic interstitial lung disease (PF-ILD). BMJ Open Respir Res. 2021;8:8.
- 159. A study to find out whether BI 1015550 improves lung function in people with progressive fibrosing interstitial lung diseases (PF-ILDs). 2023. ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT05321082
- Phase Il study of HEC585 in patients with IPF. 2023. ClinicalTrials. gov Identifier: NCT05060822
- 161. Mackintosh JA, Keir G, Troy LK, Holland AE, Grainge C, Chambers DC, et al. Treatment of idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis and progressive pulmonary fibrosis: a position statement from the Thoracic Society of Australia and New Zealand 2023 revision. Respirology. 2024;29:105–35.
- 162. Lubin M, Chen H, Elicker B, Jones KD, Collard HR, Lee JS. A comparison of health-related quality of life in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis and chronic hypersensitivity pneumonitis. Chest. 2014;145:1333–8.
- 163. Bolton CE, Bevan-Smith EF, Blakey JD, Crowe P, Elkin SL, Garrod R, et al. British Thoracic Society guideline on pulmonary rehabilitation in adults. Thorax. 2013;68(Suppl 2):ii1-30.
- Alison JA, McKeough ZJ, Johnston K, McNamara RJ, Spencer LM, Jenkins SC, et al. Australian and New Zealand pulmonary rehabilitation guidelines. Respirology. 2017;22:800–19.
- Dowman L, Hill CJ, Holland AE. Pulmonary rehabilitation for interstitial lung disease. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2021;2: CD00632.
- 166. Dowman LM, McDonald CF, Hill CJ, Lee AL, Barker K, Boote C, et al. The evidence of benefits of exercise training in interstitial lung disease: a randomised controlled trial. Thorax. 2017;72:610–9.

- 167. Dowman LM, May AK, Hill CJ, Bondarenko J, Spencer L, Morris NR, et al. High intensity interval training versus moderate intensity continuous training for people with interstitial lung disease: protocol for a randomised controlled trial. BMC Pulm Med. 2021;21:361.
- Cox NS, Dal Corso S, Hansen H, McDonald CF, Hill CJ, Zanaboni P, et al. Telerehabilitation for chronic respiratory disease. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2021;1:Cd013040.
- 169. Jacobs SS, Krishnan JA, Lederer DJ, Ghazipura M, Hossain T, Tan AM, et al. Home oxygen therapy for adults with chronic lung disease. An official American Thoracic Society clinical practice guideline. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2020;202:e121–41.
- 170. McDonald CF, Whyte K, Jenkins S, Serginson J, Frith P. Clinical practice guideline on adult domiciliary oxygen therapy: executive summary from the Thoracic Society of Australia and New Zealand. Respirology. 2016;21:76–8.
- 171. Bell EC, Cox NS, Goh N, Glaspole I, Westall GP, Watson A, et al. Oxygen therapy for interstitial lung disease: a systematic review. Eur Respir Rev. 2017;26:160080.
- 172. Visca D, Mori L, Tsipouri V, Fleming S, Firouzi A, Bonini M, et al. Effect of ambulatory oxygen on quality of life for patients with fibrotic lung disease (AmbOx): a prospective, open-label, mixed-method, crossover randomised controlled trial. Lancet Respir Med. 2018;6: 759–70.
- 173. Holland AE, Corte T, Chambers DC, Palmer AJ, Ekström MP, Glaspole I, et al. Ambulatory oxygen for treatment of exertional hypoxaemia in pulmonary fibrosis (PFOX trial): a randomised controlled trial. BMJ Open. 2020;10:e040798.
- 174. Chambers DC, Perch M, Zuckermann A, Cherikh WS, Harhay MO, Hayes D Jr, et al. The international thoracic organ transplant registry of the International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation: thirty-eighth adult lung transplantation report—2021; focus on recipient characteristics. J Heart Lung Transplant. 2021;40:1060–72.
- Kapnadak SG, Raghu G. Lung transplantation for interstitial lung disease. Eur Respir Rev. 2021;30:210017.
- 176. Leard LE, Holm AM, Valapour M, Glanville AR, Attawar S, Aversa M, et al. Consensus document for the selection of lung transplant candidates: an update from the International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation. J Heart Lung Transplant. 2021;40: 1349–79.
- 177. Nosotti M, Leiva-Juarez M, D'Ovidio F, Van Raemdonck D, Ceulemans L, Keshavjee S, et al. Survival after lung transplantation for chronic hypersensitivity pneumonitis: results from a large international cohort study. Transpl Int. 2022;35:10450.
- Kern RM, Singer JP, Koth L, Mooney J, Golden J, Hays S, et al. Lung transplantation for hypersensitivity pneumonitis. Chest. 2015;147: 1558–65.
- 179. Bajwah S, Ross JR, Wells AU, Mohammed K, Oyebode C, Birring SS, et al. Palliative care for patients with advanced fibrotic lung disease: a randomised controlled phase II and feasibility trial of a community case conference intervention. Thorax. 2015;70:830–9.
- 180. Higginson IJ, Bausewein C, Reilly CC, Gao W, Gysels M, Dzingina M, et al. An integrated palliative and respiratory care service for patients with advanced disease and refractory breathlessness: a randomised controlled trial. Lancet Respir Med. 2014;2:979–87.
- 181. Kalluri M, Claveria F, Ainsley E, Haggag M, Armijo-Olivo S, Richman-Eisenstat J. Beyond idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis diagnosis: multidisciplinary care with an early integrated palliative approach is associated with a decrease in acute care utilization and hospital deaths. J Pain Symptom Manage. 2018;55:420–6.
- 182. Bischoff KE, Choi S, Su A, Cohen E, O'Riordan DL, Oettel E, et al. Better together: a mixed-methods study of palliative care Co-Management for Patients with interstitial lung disease. J Palliat Med. 2021;24:1823–32.
- 183. Bassi I, Guerrieri A, Carpano M, Gardini A, Prediletto I, Polastri M, et al. Feasibility and efficacy of a multidisciplinary palliative approach in patients with advanced interstitial lung disease. A pilot randomised controlled trial. Pulmonology. 2021;S2531-0437:00223-3.

IGHTSLINK

- 184. Rodrigo-Troyano A, Alonso A, Barril S, Fariñas O, Güell E, Pascual A, et al. Impact of early referral to palliative care in patients with interstitial lung disease. J Palliat Med. 2022;25:1422–5.
- 185. Palmer E, Kavanagh E, Visram S, Bourke A-M, Forrest I, Exley C. When should palliative care be introduced for people with progressive fibrotic interstitial lung disease? A meta-ethnography of the experiences of people with end-stage interstitial lung disease and their family carers. Palliat Med. 2022;36:1171–85.
- 186. Wu Z, Spencer LG, Banya W, Westoby J, Tudor VA, Rivera-Ortega P, et al. Morphine for treatment of cough in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (PACIFY COUGH): a prospective, multicentre, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, two-way crossover trial. Lancet Respir Med. 2024;12:273–80.
- 187. Kalluri M, Orenstein S, Archibald N, Pooler C. Advance care planning needs in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis: a qualitative study. Am J Hosp Palliat Care. 2022;39:641–51.
- Kang J, Kim YJ, Choe J, Chae EJ, Song JW. Acute exacerbation of fibrotic hypersensitivity pneumonitis: incidence and outcomes. Respir Res. 2021;22:152.
- 189. Collard HR, Ryerson CJ, Corte TJ, Jenkins G, Kondoh Y, Lederer DJ, et al. Acute exacerbation of idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. An international working group report. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2016;194: 265–75.
- 190. Okuda R, Takemura T, Misumi T, Nagasawa R, Iwasawa T, Baba T, et al. Acute exacerbation and proposed criteria for progressive pulmonary fibrosis in patients with fibrotic hypersensitivity pneumonitis and idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. Respiration. 2023;102:803–12.
- Suzuki A, Kondoh Y, Brown KK, Johkoh T, Kataoka K, Fukuoka J, et al. Acute exacerbations of fibrotic interstitial lung diseases. Respirology. 2020;25:525–34.
- 192. Miyazaki Y, Tateishi T, Akashi T, Ohtani Y, Inase N, Yoshizawa Y. Clinical predictors and histologic appearance of acute exacerbations in chronic hypersensitivity pneumonitis. Chest. 2008;134:1265–70.
- Ryerson CJ, Cottin V, Brown KK, Collard HR. Acute exacerbation of idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis: shifting the paradigm. Eur Respir J. 2015;46:512–20.
- 194. Kreuter M, Polke M, Walsh SLF, Krisam J, Collard HR, Chaudhuri N, et al. Acute exacerbation of idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis: international survey and call for harmonisation. Eur Respir J. 2020;55:1901760.
- 195. Flaherty KR, Wells AU, Cottin V, Devaraj A, Inoue Y, Richeldi L, et al. Nintedanib in progressive interstitial lung diseases: data from the whole INBUILD trial. Eur Respir J. 2022;59:2004538.
- 196. Soffer S, Morgenthau AS, Shimon O, Barash Y, Konen E, Glicksberg BS, et al. Artificial intelligence for interstitial lung disease analysis on chest computed tomography: a systematic review. Acad Radiol. 2022;29(Suppl 2):S226–35.
- 197. Pereira JO, Fernandes V, Alfaro TM, Freitas S, Cordeiro CR. Diagnosis of fibrotic hypersensitivity pneumonitis: is there a role for biomarkers? Life (Basel). 2023;13:13.
- 198. van der Sar IG, Moor CC, Oppenheimer JC, Luijendijk ML, van Daele PLA, Maitland-van der Zee AH, et al. Diagnostic performance of electronic nose Technology in Sarcoidosis. Chest. 2022;161:738–47.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information can be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.

How to cite this article: Barnes H, Corte TJ, Keir G, Khor YH, Limaye S, Wrobel JP, et al. Diagnosis and management of hypersensitivity pneumonitis in adults: A position statement from the Thoracic Society of Australia and New Zealand. Respirology. 2024. https://doi.org/10.1111/resp.14847