

REVIEW ARTICLE OPEN



ERN GENTURIS clinical practice guidelines for the diagnosis, surveillance and management of people with Birt-Hogg-Dubé syndrome

Marianne Geilswijk 1¹², Maurizio Genuardi 1^{2,3}, Emma R. Woodward 1⁴, Katie Nightingale⁵, Jazzmin Huber⁵, Mia Gebauer Madsen¹, Dieke Liekelema - van der Heij⁵, Ian Lisseman⁵, Jenny Marlé-Ballangé⁶, Cormac McCarthy⁷, Fred H. Menko 1⁸, R. Jeroen A. van Moorselaar⁹, Elzbieta Radzikowska¹⁰, Stéphane Richard 1¹, Neil Rajan 1¹², Mette Sommerlund 1, Maria T. A. Wetscherek 1³, Nataliya Di Donato 1⁴, Eamonn R. Maher 1^{5,16} and Joan Brunet 1¹⁷

© The Author(s) 2024

Birt-Hogg-Dubé syndrome (BHD syndrome) is an autosomal dominant multisystem disorder with variable expression due to pathogenic constitutional variants in the *FLCN* gene. Patients with BHD syndrome are predisposed to benign cutaneous fibrofolliculomas/trichodischomas, pulmonary cysts with an associated risk of spontaneous pneumothorax, and renal cell carcinoma. A requirement for updated International consensus recommendations for the diagnosis and management of BHD syndrome was identified. Based on a comprehensive literature review and expert consensus within the fields of respiratory medicine, urology, radiology, dermatology, clinical oncology and clinical genetics, updated recommendations for diagnosis, surveillance and management in BHD syndrome were developed. With the widespread availability of *FLCN* genetic testing, clinical scenarios in which a diagnosis should be considered and criteria for genetic testing were defined. Following a clinical and/or molecular diagnosis of BHD syndrome, a multidisciplinary approach to disease management is required. Regular renal cancer surveillance is recommended in adulthood and life-long, but the evidence base for additional tumour surveillance is limited and further research warranted. Recommendations for the treatment of cutaneous, pulmonary and renal manifestations are provided. Awareness of BHD syndrome needs to be raised and better knowledge of the clinical settings in which the diagnosis should be considered should enable earlier diagnosis. Further details, including areas for future research topics are available at: https://www.genturis.eu/l=eng/Guidelines-and-pathways/Clinical-practice-guidelines.html.

European Journal of Human Genetics; https://doi.org/10.1038/s41431-024-01671-2

INTRODUCTION

Birt Hogg Dubé (BHD) syndrome is a rare condition caused by pathogenic variants (PV) or likely pathogenic variants in the *FLCN* gene, encoding the tumour suppressor protein folliculin [1]. BHD syndrome is phenotypically heterogeneous with major manifestations in three organs: benign cutaneous fibrofolliculomas/trichodischomas (FF/TD), pulmonary cysts with an associated increased risk of spontaneous pneumothorax (PTX) and, importantly, renal tumours [2–4]. Inheritance is autosomal dominant with age-dependent penetrance and variable expression. Inter- and intrafamilial phenotypic variability is common.

BHD syndrome is caused by monoallelic loss of function PVs in the *FLCN* gene [1, 3], and renal tumours are initiated by somatic mutations or loss of the wild-type allele (as in a classic tumour suppressor model) [5]. Biallelic *FLCN* inactivation leads to activation of the mTOR pathway in some contexts [6], although FLCN is implicated in additional cellular processes. A key role in the regulation of mTORC1 in kidney cells with *FLCN* inactivation is played by TFEB, that along with TFE3 belongs to the MiTF family of helix-loop-helix leucine zipper transcriptional factors [7].

The exact prevalence of BHD syndrome is unclear. A widely quoted figure is 1 in 200,000 (Source: The portal for rare diseases and orphan drugs; [8]), but the condition is generally considered to be underdiagnosed, and large-scale genomic studies of unselected clinical populations suggest that the prevalence of *FLCN* loss of function variants is around fortyfold higher [9]. However, further research is required to establish whether the risk of manifestations in individuals with pathogenic FLCN variants

¹Aarhus University Hospital, Aarhus, Denmark. ²Dipartimento di Scienze della Vita e Sanità Pubblica, Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, Rome, Italy. ³UOC Genetica Medica, Fondazione Policlinico Universitario A. Gemelli IRCCS, Rome, Italy. ⁴Manchester Centre for Genomic Medicine, University of Manchester, UK. ⁵Myrovlytis Trust, BHD Foundation, Manchester, UK. ⁶BHD FRANCE (a charity working closely with the BHD foundation), La Rochelle, France. ⁷School of Medicine, University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland. ⁸Antoni van Leeuwenhoek Hospital, the Netherlands Cancer Institute, Amsterdam, the Netherlands. ⁹Amsterdam UMC, location VUmc, Amsterdam, the Netherlands. ¹⁰Instytut Gruzlicy Chorób Pluc, Warsaw, Poland. ¹¹French NCI (INCa) network for rare cancers in adults PREDIR, AP-HP, Hôpital Bicêtre, Le Kremlin-Bicêtre, France. ¹²Translational and Clinical Research Institute, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK. ¹³Addenbrooke's Hospital, Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Cambridge, UK. ¹⁴Department of Human Genetics, Hannover Medical School, Hannover, Germany. ¹⁵University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK. ¹⁶Aston University, Birmingham, UK. ¹⁷Catalan Institute of Oncology, Barcelona, Spain. ^{Elemail:} marianne.geilswijk@auh.rm.dk

Received: 12 February 2024 Revised: 27 June 2024 Accepted: 2 July 2024

Published online: 31 July 2024

detected as an incidental finding is similar or lower than that in families diagnosed through clinical presentation.

Diagnosing BHD syndrome enables regular renal surveillance not only for the proband but also for relevant family members. Diagnosis may be difficult if some of the common signs, i.e. facial FF/TD, are absent or overlooked. However, a genetic diagnosis may be made even in the absence of a clear clinical phenotype. Key clinical questions addressed in this guideline are:

- When should a potential diagnosis of BHD syndrome be considered?
- When should genetic testing of FLCN be considered?
- What is the optimal surveillance of target organs (lungs, kidneys, and skin) in people with BHD syndrome?
- Should specific tumour surveillance be offered to people with BHD syndrome other than for kidney cancer?
- Should any specific advice be given to people with BHD syndrome regarding the risk of pneumothorax?
- Should pneumothorax and kidney cancer in people with BHD syndrome be treated differently from those occurring in the general population?

Scope of the guideline

The guideline applies to all individuals with BHD syndrome diagnosed on clinical findings and/or the presence of a PV in FLCN, and to individuals in whom a diagnosis of BHD syndrome should be considered as defined in the guideline text. This guideline is written primarily for health care clinicians who may care for patients who present with one or more of the main manifestations of BHD syndrome including clinical geneticists, urologists, dermatologists, pulmonologists, and oncologists. However, it can also be used by other physicians, patients or other interested parties. The guideline can support clinical decision making but should not replace clinical professional assessment and decision making which will be based on the individual needs, person preferences and individual circumstances of each patient. Implementation should preferably take place through the national Director of Health (or equivalent) in each European Country, but the guideline could also be disseminated through relevant medical societies including respiratory medicine, urology, oncology, radiology, dermatology and clinical genetics.

METHODS

Evidence base and approach to secure views and preferences of target population

The guideline group for BHD syndrome was established by experts in the clinical care for the wide spectrum of manifestations of BHD syndrome and included patient representatives. The BHD Guideline Group consisted of a Core Working Group comprising ERN GENTURIS (European Reference Network for patients with a rare genetic tumour risk syndrome) clinical experts and representatives from a patient advocate group. The Core Working Group met online and drafted the guideline scope, clinical questions, recommendations and guideline document and obtained feedback from the BHD Guideline Group. The recommendations were finalised in a modified Delphi approach in which the Core Working Group, BHD Guideline Group and additional experts participated. The guideline was based on an initial review of published and indexed literature [24th May 2022] that mentioned or referenced Birt-Hogg-Dubé (BHD) syndrome (total 765 papers; search term -"Birt-Hogg-Dube" [All Fields]). Other relevant papers up to August 1st 2023 were also included and 71 formed the basis of the guideline. Whilst the evidence base would rate low on a formal assessment (e.g. GRADE), its strength is inclusion of case series that cover many years that appeared to have complete or near complete consecutive case reporting.

The full details of the guideline including literature search, reference list and Delphi process can be found at: https://www.genturis.eu/l=eng/Guidelines-and-pathways/Clinical-practice-guidelines.html.

RESULTS

Recommendations

Using the modified Delphi process and multiple rounds of review by the BHD Guideline Group and the Core Working Group, a series of 25 recommendations were made relating to the diagnosis, management and surveillance (see Tables 1–4). Participants assessed recommendations by a four point Likert scale (totally disagree, disagree, agree, totally agree) and consensus was defined when >60% of participants responded 'agree' or 'totally agree'. However, even if consensus was met, recommendations were still modified if a higher consensus was thought to be achievable from the written responses that accompanied the ratings. The strength of the recommendation was graded according to a three point scale: Strong=expert consensus AND consistent evidence; Moderate=expert consensus WITH inconsistent evidence AND/OR new evidence likely to support the recommendation; Weak=Expert majority decision WITHOUT consistent evidence.

The BHD syndrome guidelines are subdivided into four broad and overlapping areas: diagnostic aspects (Table 1), clinical management recommendations (Table 2), recommendations for surveillance (Table 3) and organ-specific recommendations (Table 4).

Diagnostic aspects of BHD syndrome

The first set of Recommendations (R1 to R9a see Table 1) were related to the diagnosis of BHD syndrome. Previously various clinical diagnostic criteria for BHD syndrome have been suggested, though in general, these have been derived or adapted from those of the European BHD Consensus group (EBHDC) [4]. However since the EBHDC report there have been major changes in genetic testing which is increasingly being used as a first-line diagnostic test for BHD syndrome and access to genetic testing is no longer restricted to clinical genetics specialists. This is highly relevant to BHD syndrome as this condition may present to a wide range of clinical specialities, and it can mimic other disorders. Therefore, it is important to recognise in which clinical scenarios a diagnosis of BHD syndrome should be considered. In defining the clinical indicators of a possible diagnosis of BHD syndrome, a balance has to be made between high sensitivity/low specificity and low sensitivity/high specificity criteria in order to avoid over-investigation or underdiagnosis. Additionally, there are clinical scenarios in which the frequency of an underlying diagnosis of BHD syndrome is low, but, because of the risk of renal cell carcinoma (RCC), it is important to exclude it if possible. Recommendation 1 identified clinical presentations in which a diagnosis of BHD syndrome should be considered. Consideration of a diagnosis of BHD syndrome does not necessarily mean that genetic testing should be instigated. For example, in many countries a 49 year old man with unifocal unilateral clear cell RCC would be ineligible for routine genetic testing for hereditary kidney cancer predisposition, but the act of considering BHD syndrome might lead to the detection of a suggestive family history, lower zone cystic lung disease and/or FF/TD that then leads to genetic testing for BHD syndrome (R3). Recommendation 6 provides criteria for genetic testing for BHD syndrome.

FF/TD are the most common manifestations of BHD syndrome and show age-dependent penetrance (87 to 97% by age 70 years) [10, 11]. FF/TD appear as raised pale or skin coloured papules typically over the nose and cheeks, neck and upper trunk, and are clinically indistinguishable [12]. Their benign histopathology

Table 1. Consensus recommendations relevant to the diagnosis of BHD syndrome.

Recomme	ndations	Strength
Rec. 1	A potential diagnosis of BHD syndrome should be considered* in the presence of ANY of the following:	
	a. Primary spontaneous pneumothorax.	strong
	b. Multiple bilateral pulmonary cysts, particularly in lower zone, in the absence of a known cause.	strong
	c. Bilateral or multifocal renal neoplasia (i.e. renal cell carcinomas and/or oncocytomas).	strong
	d. Renal cell carcinoma, below 50 years of age or familial.	strong
	e. Multiple cutaneous papules clinically consistent with fibrofolliculoma/trichodiscoma.	strong
	f. Any combination of the above mentioned cutaneous (e.g. multiple fibrofolliculomas/trichodiscomas), pulmonary (e.g. pulmonary cysts) or renal manifestations (e.g. renal cell carcinoma) presenting in the same individual or members of their family, with or without a known family history of BHD syndrome.	strong
	* Please note that this recommendation entails to <i>consider</i> a diagnosis of BHD syndrome, indicating that other clinical features and family history should be looked for. Recommendations to perform genetic testing to diagnose BHD syndrome can differ and are detailed in recommendation 6.	
	^ Criteria for early onset renal cell carcinoma might vary between countries and centres: specific country age recommendations for early onset renal cell carcinoma might apply.	
Rec. 2	A diagnosis of BHD syndrome should be considered at all ages (not just young persons) in the presence of suggestive features.	strong
Rec. 3	If BHD syndrome is considered as underlying diagnosis, appropriate further investigations, such as skin examination, CT scan of the lungs and/or genetic testing should be initiated.	strong
Rec. 4	A definitive diagnosis of BHD syndrome should be made when a genetic test is positive for a constitutive pathogenic/likely pathogenic variant in <i>FLCN</i> .	strong
Rec. 4a	Not all patients with clinical evidence of BHD syndrome will have a detectable FLCN pathogenic/likely pathogenic variant.	strong
Rec. 4b	A clinical diagnosis of BHD syndrome* can be made even in the absence of a detectable <i>FLCN</i> pathogenic/likely pathogenic variant if one major criterion (>5 fibrofolliculomas or trichodiscomas, at least one histologically confirmed, of adult onset) or two minor criteria (1. Lung: bilateral basally located pulmonary cysts with no other apparent cause; 2. Kidney: early onset (<50 years), multifocal or bilateral renal cancer, or renal cancer of mixed chromophobe and oncocytic histology; or 3. Family history: a first-degree relative with BHD syndrome) are present.	strong
	* According to the European BHD consortium criteria (Menko et al., 2009).	
Rec. 4c	Variants of uncertain significance (VUSs) in <i>FLCN</i> should be assessed according to international guidelines (e.g. ACMG/AMP) and interpreted in the context of the clinical presentation and familial segregation studies. Additional clinical or imaging assessments in order to detect subclinical features of BHD syndrome can also be performed.	strong
Rec. 5	Clinicians should be aware that BHD syndrome displays variable expression and that expecting classical features (skin lesions, pulmonary cysts and pneumothoraces) or only considering BHD syndrome in more extreme presentations (e.g. renal cell carcinoma at <40 years, pneumothorax at <40 years) might lead to the diagnosis being overlooked.	strong
Rec. 6	Genetic testing for FLCN to diagnose BHD syndrome should be a part of the genetic evaluation offered in the presence of ANY of the following:	
	a. Primary spontaneous pneumothorax if recurrent and/or familial.	strong
	b. Multiple bilateral pulmonary cysts, particularly in lower zone, in the absence of a known cause.	strong
	c. Bilateral or multifocal renal neoplasia (i.e. renal cell carcinomas and oncocytomas).	strong
	d. Familial or early onset (45 years or under)* renal cell carcinoma.	strong
	e. Multiple cutaneous papules clinically consistent with fibrofolliculoma/ trichodiscoma with at least one histologically confirmed fibrofolliculoma.	strong
	f. Any combination of these cutaneous (multiple fibrofolliculomas/trichodiscomas), pulmonary (e.g. pulmonary cysts) and renal manifestations (e.g. renal cell carcinoma) in the same individual or members of their family.	strong
	* Criteria for early onset renal cell carcinoma might vary between countries and centres. From a practical perspective, specific country age recommendations for early onset RCC can be applied.	
Rec. 7	Predictive genetic testing for familial BHD syndrome is not generally performed until 18 years unless required for specific indications (e.g. clinical management, planning for diving activities).	strong
Rec. 8	First degree adult relatives of individuals with a likely pathogenic/pathogenic FLCN variant should be offered predictive genetic testing.	strong
Rec. 9	Lung ultrasound should not be used as a diagnostic test for pulmonary cysts in people with or suspected of having BHD	strong
Rec. 9a	A baseline low dose high-resolution computed tomography (HRCT) scan can be offered to patients with or suspected of having BHD syndrome to diagnose pulmonary cysts. This can be offered from time of diagnosis, but not usually to asymptomatic patients before 20 years of age.	moderate

results in some patients not being offered treatment on this basis, however the presence of multiple facial FF/TDs can have significant psychosocial impact on people living with BHD syndrome. Less frequently FF/TD can appear on other parts of

the torso and the scalp. Other skin lesions that may occur in BHD syndrome include perifollicular fibromas, comedonal FF and cystic FF. Multiple skin tags (acrochordons) are recognised in patients with BHD syndrome, though they are less specific than FF or TD as

Table 2. Consensus recommendations relevant to the clinical management of BHD syndrome.

Recommendations		Strength
Rec. 10	FLCN variants should not be considered as 'pneumothorax-only' variants.	strong
Rec. 11	All FLCN variants should be considered as significantly increasing renal tumour risk and lead to appropriate renal surveillance being offered.	strong
Rec. 12	Currently there is not sufficient evidence of an increased risk for other tumours observed in families with BHD syndrome (e.g. colorectal cancer, malignant melanoma, thyroid cancer, etc.).	moderate

a clinical indicator [12]. Whilst multiple FF/TD are often considered pathognomonic of BHD syndrome, there are other inherited skin conditions that may mimic BHD syndrome, e.g. familial multiple discoid fibromas [13, 14]. In addition, there are two recent reports of cases with cutaneous FF and renal cancer that were associated with rare missense variants in *PRDM10* (p.Cys677Tyr and p.Cys677Arg) [15, 16]. Traditionally, skin biopsy has been performed to confirm a diagnosis of FF/TD in potential new cases of BHD syndrome, but nowadays genetic testing can offer an alternative route to diagnosis.

Approximately 10% of patients with primary pneumothoraces may have an underlying genetic cause, and BHD syndrome is the most common inherited disorder in individuals with familial pneumothorax [17, 18]. Nevertheless, the diagnosis of people with underlying BHD syndrome who first present with a pneumothorax remains an area of high unmet need. There is a longer latency to diagnosis (median 6 years) observed when pneumothorax was the first clinical feature compared to renal tumours or skin involvement [19]. There is a substantial (24-48%) cumulative lifetime risk of pneumothorax [11, 20] with at a median age at first pneumothorax of ~34 years (range 7-78 years) [19, 21]. Those who develop multiple pneumothoraces present, on average, at a younger age than those with a single occurrence (mean, 29.7 vs 38.9 years) [22]. The risk of a spontaneous pneumothorax in BHD syndrome is lifelong, so advanced age per se is not an exclusion criterion for the possibility of BHD syndrome (R2). Pneumothorax in BHD syndrome is almost invariably associated with the presence of pulmonary cysts. However, multiple pulmonary cysts are often present in BHD syndrome in individuals without a history of pneumothorax (about a third of individuals with cysts have not had a pneumothorax) [19]. An association between pneumothorax occurrence in BHD syndrome and the total number of lung cysts, total lung cyst volume and largest cyst diameter was reported [17]. BHD syndrome-associated pulmonary cysts tend to be located in the basilar regions of the lungs, in contrast to emphysematous bullae which typically occur in the upper lobes [23, 24].

The major renal manifestation of BHD syndrome is RCC which has a lifetime risk of 15–30% [10]. The earliest reported age onset of RCC in BHD syndrome is a single case at age 14 years [25], but otherwise RCC occurs after age 20 years with a median age of diagnosis of 46 years [26–28]. Predisposition to renal cancer appears to be lifelong, with RCC being diagnosed as late as 83 years [28]. Presentation with bilateral/multicentric renal cancers is well-recognised and patients who present with a single RCC may develop another primary renal tumour during follow up [28, 29].

Tumour histopathology may be an indicator of underlying BHD syndrome. Initially most RCC in patients with BHD syndrome was classified as having overlapping features of an oncocytoma and chromophobe RCC ("hybrid oncocytic/chromophobe RCC") [26], but as the condition has been more widely recognised, other histologies have been reported e.g. chromophobe, papillary and clear cell [26, 28]. Renal oncocytoma (a benign tumour) may also occur. The age threshold for offering testing to apparently sporadic non-syndromic cases of RCC varies between health care systems (e.g. 40–50 years) [30, 31]. In addition to patients with early-onset RCC, those with multicentric or familial RCC may be routinely tested for FLCN PV as part of a panel of inherited RCC genes, individuals with BHD syndrome and RCC outside of these

groups may not be tested unless other indicators of BHD syndrome are detected. In recommendation 6 the variability in age cut-offs for genetic testing "in early-onset cases" was acknowledged and a cut-off for testing of 45 years or less was suggested. However, it was also suggested that consideration of a diagnosis of BHD syndrome should extend up to age 50 years (R1) as identification of additional features of BHD syndrome (see above and below) could then lead to genetic testing being offered.

FLCN is the major gene associated with the BHD syndrome, though recent reports suggest that a BHD syndrome-like phenotype can rarely be associated with specific missense PV in PRDM10 (see above). Genetic testing for FLCN variants may be performed as a targeted single gene test or be a part of a multigene panel or exome/genome sequencing. In practice, most testing is performed as part of a multigene panel for indications such as multicentric RCC or familial pneumothorax. The vast majority of PV are truncating variants (premature stop-codon, frameshift, canonical splice site variants) detected by DNA sequencing [8, 32]. Recurrent pathogenic variants (e.g. frameshift c.1285delC/dupC) have been described. The overall sensitivity of the genomic sequencing to detect SNVs/indels within the coding region of FLCN should be up to 100%. Individuals who are negative by sequencing may have an undetected FLCN structural variant, an intronic/noncoding variant or a PV in PRDM10 [33, 34] (R4b). The reported detection rate of FLCN PV in individuals diagnosed with BHD syndrome is estimated to be 88–96% [8, 27]. The interpretation of the clinical relevance of missense variants, as well as intronic and non-coding variants in FLCN remains challenging. Only a handful of the FLCN missense variants have undergone functional characterization supporting their pathogenicity [35], and the vast majority of the identified missense variants are reported as variants of unknown significance (VUSs) (ClinVar database access March 2023: 787 missense variants, 688 uncertain; see https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/clinvar/). The classification of a variant as a VUS should be made according to standard international guidelines (e.g., ACMG/AMP) in a certified diagnostic laboratory and interpreted in the context of the clinical presentation and family segregation studies (R4c). Additional clinical or imaging assessments to detect subclinical features of BHD syndrome can also be performed to aid variant interpretation (R3).

Following the detection of a *FLCN* PV, at risk family members (e.g. first degree relatives or second degree if intervening relative is unavailable) can be offered cascade testing to enable those who test negative to be released from regular surveillance (R8). In general, as for other adult-onset hereditary tumour predisposition syndromes, predictive testing is not performed before the age of 18 years unless the results would influence the management of the at risk child (R7). [36, 37].

Clinical Management of BHD syndrome (Tables 1, 2)

Following the diagnosis of BHD syndrome in an individual, whether by clinical criteria (R4b) or, more commonly, by the detection of a constitutional pathogenic *FLCN* variant (R4a), the focus switches towards ongoing management of any current BHD syndrome-related complications and surveillance to reduce the morbidity from complications that might develop in the future. This is exemplified by measures to ensure that any renal tumours

Table 3. Consensus recommendations on surveillance in BHD syndrome.

Recommen	dations	Strength
Rec. 13	Surveillance for renal cell carcinoma should be lifelong.	strong
Rec. 13a	Surveillance for renal cell carcinoma should be started at age 20.	strong
Rec. 13b	Surveillance for renal cell carcinoma should be conducted every 1–2 years.	strong
Rec. 14	Surveillance for renal cell carcinoma should preferably be conducted using MRI, but ultrasound can be used if MRI is not available/appropriate.	strong
Rec. 14a	MRI with IV contrast should be used unless there are contraindications for contrast use.	strong
Rec. 15	Following the detection of a renal tumour, the frequency of imaging follow-up should increase in order to monitor growth rate and plan intervention.	strong
Rec. 16	Surveillance for colon polyps and/or cancers should follow local standard population or family history-based screening guidelines.	moderate
Rec. 17	Surveillance for thyroid cancers, salivary cancers and melanomas should not be performed as part of the routine follow- up of patients with BHD syndrome, but should be based on family history.	strong

are detected at an early stage (<3 cm diameter). Reports of a constitutional pathogenic *FLCN* variant in kindreds with a "familial pneumothorax only" phenotype led to suggestions that BHD syndrome and isolated familial pneumothorax might be allelic [38]. However, a systematic review of the literature observed that cases of *FLCN*-related familial pneumothorax only were, on average, younger and from smaller families than individuals reported with additional manifestations of BHD syndrome. It was concluded that all individuals with a constitutional *FLCN* PV, even in the presence of a personal and family history of a pneumothorax only phenotype, should be considered to be at risk of renal tumours and offered appropriate renal surveillance (R10, R11) [19]. In addition, no other putative genotype-phenotype correlations have been confirmed to date.

Individuals with a clinical diagnosis of BHD syndrome (see R4b, Diagnostic aspects of BHD syndrome) but no detectable *FLCN* PV should be managed in a similar manner as those with a detectable PV. There is little information on the management of PRDM10-associated BHD-like syndrome. For the time being caution should be used as applying an approach similar to patients with classical BHD syndrome might not be sufficient, particularly if there is a history of aggressive RCC in which case the "3 cm rule" for renal tumours (see below) may not be applied [16]. Further data is needed to determine how such PRDM10-associated BHD-like syndrome cases should be managed.

Aspects of surveillance in BHD syndrome (Table 3)

As with other cancer predisposition syndromes, a major focus for the ongoing care of individuals with BHD syndrome is the early detection of BHD syndrome-related neoplasia. Risks calculated to age 70 of developing a RCC in BHD syndrome have been estimated as 15-30% and, as in other hereditary cancer syndromes, renal tumour surveillance is offered in BHD syndrome [39]. CT and MRI scans are more sensitive than ultrasonography for detecting small renal masses, but MRI scans avoid the radiation loads associated with annual CT scans [40]. Though some centres employ ultrasonography for renal surveillance in BHD syndrome, additional data is required to define if the reduced sensitivity for detecting small renal lesions compared to MRI will lead to the underdiagnosis of clinically significant RCC (R14, R14a) [41]. Surveillance usually commences at age 20 years [2, 4, 39] as RCC rarely occurs earlier [25]. In the absence of another life-impairing illness, and if agreed with the patient, RCC surveillance may continue for life (R13, R13a), since RCC has been reported in the ninth decade in BHD syndrome patients [28]. It is recommended that renal imaging is performed every 1–2 years, preferably annually. Further research is required to determine if more detailed imaging (MRI) might enable intervals to be extended (R13b). When a renal tumour is detected, the frequency of imaging follow-up should be increased to monitor growth rate and plan intervention (see later) (R15).

Whilst it has been suggested that BHD syndrome may predispose to a variety of other neoplasms, including colorectal, thyroid and salivary gland tumours, and melanoma, to date, none of these possible associations have been confirmed sufficiently to indicate that specific surveillance is required (R12) [3, 20, 42-49]. The most investigated potential association has been with colorectal neoplasia. Evidence for [42] and against [20, 44] an association between BHD syndrome and colorectal neoplasia has been reported and currently a risk for colorectal cancer is considered unproven (though there could be an increased risk of colorectal polyposis) [44]. This has led to suggestions that standard population screening guidelines for colorectal cancer should be used in BHD syndrome [50], and, when there is a positive family history of colorectal cancer, then case surveillance recommendations should be individualized according to local guidance for familial colorectal cancer (R16). Similarly, though it has been recommended that a formal dermatologic assessment should be conducted at diagnosis (R18), there is no evidence to suggest that ongoing surveillance for melanoma (and other nonrenal tumours) is indicated in BHD syndrome (R17) [50].

Organ-specific management recommendations (Table 4)

Skin. Self-reported alterations in Health-Related Quality of Life (HRQOL) was reported in approximately one-third of patients with BHD syndrome and FF/TD [51], and, though routine dermatology clinic surveillance is not required, a formal assessment should be considered at diagnosis (R18), with rereferral as required. Therapeutic management is available with standard dermatological approaches, including shave excison, punch excision, ablative electrosurgery [52] and laser therapy, and should be considered as an effective intervention for substantially improving the Quality of Life (R25). Treatment is not curative and may need to be repeated. Topical rapamycin (mTOR pathway inhibitor) has been tested in a single trial and was found not to be useful as a treatment for established FF/TD [53].

Lung. A low dose high-resolution computed tomographic (HRCT) of the chest may be performed at diagnosis (R9a) [50, 54]. The number and size of cysts may indicate pneumothorax risk and so inform personal counselling [17, 55]. However, there is no evidence supporting regular repeated imaging of the chest and it should only be repeated when clinically indicated [50]. Pulmonary cysts are best defined by HRCT scans and lung ultrasound should not be used (R9) [56]. Little has been published regarding the impact of BHD syndrome on lung function. In a retrospective study Daccord et al. [57] assessed clinical data regarding 96 individuals and found that BHD syndrome-related cystic lung disease did not affect respiratory function at baseline,

Table 4. Organ-specific consensus recommendations.

Recommendations		Strength
Rec. 18	A formal dermatologic assessment should be considered at diagnosis.	strong
Rec. 19	Surgical intervention should usually be performed when the largest renal tumour reaches 3 cm in diameter.	strong
Rec. 20	Nephron-sparing surgery should ideally be performed whenever possible, with percutaneous thermal ablation being an alternative.	strong
Rec. 21	Routine Lung Function Testing is not usually required in the follow-up of asymptomatic patients with BHD syndrome.	moderate
Rec. 22	Risk of pneumothoraces in flying/diving should be assessed and counselled on an individual basis with specific advice from respiratory medicine based on results of high-resolution computed tomography and previous history of pneumothoraces.	strong
Rec. 23	Flights on commercial airlines are generally safe but for activities that may pose a risk for pneumothorax, such as working as a pilot, flying in unpressurised aircraft or diving, expert advice should be sought so that individuals can be advised appropriately.	strong
Rec. 24	Surgical interventions should be considered for the treatment of recurrent pneumothorax.	strong
Rec. 25	Ablative procedures (e.g. electrosurgery, laser therapy) to manage fibrofolliculomas and trichodiscomas (especially facial) should be considered and discussed in patients requesting intervention, particularly if a patient states their skin lesions are affecting their quality of life.	strong

except for slightly increased residual volume and reduced carbon monoxide transfer factor (DLCO) [57]. No significant syndromespecific deterioration of lung function was seen in the limited follow-up period of 6 years [57]. Currently, the consensus is that routine lung function testing is not indicated in asymptomatic individuals with BHD syndrome (R21). Data regarding pneumothorax risk during air travel and diving is limited, although it has been estimated that BHD syndrome patients have a pneumothorax risk of 0.63% per flight and a risk of 0.33% per episode of diving [58]. In accordance, Gupta et al. (2017) found a similar low occurrence of pneumothorax during flying and also that the risk decreases in patients who have undergone pleurodesis [54]. Anecdotally, the risk of pneumothorax may be higher in unpressurised aircraft. Individuals with BHD syndrome who plan to work as a pilot or dive regularly should seek specialised advice regarding the risks and potential preventative interventions [59] (R22, R23). Treatment of pneumothorax in BHD syndrome does not differ from that of pneumothorax for other reasons. Surgical intervention, (e.g. Video Assisted Thoracoscopic Surgery (VATS) and chemical or mechanical pleurodesis or pleurectomy, or total pleural covering) should be considered in case of recurrent pneumothorax [60] (R24, R25).

Kidney. If renal tumours are identified, they should be followed with interval imaging studies until the largest tumour diameter reaches 3 cm, at which point nephron-sparing intervention should be pursued R19. This '3 cm rule' was originally formulated in von Hippel-Lindau disease, but has been widely adapted for several other hereditary renal cancer predisposition syndromes [2, 61, 62]. An alternative to surgery is image-quided percutaneous ablative therapies such as radiofrequency ablation and cryoablation [63]. No studies have directly compared the use of partial nephrectomy and thermal ablation in BHD syndrome-related renal tumours, and it has been suggested that thermal ablation may complicate the interpretation of post-treatment imaging surveillance and surgical procedures in patients at high risk of new tumours [62]. However, recent studies have reported that percutaneous thermal ablation can be applied successfully for the treatment of renal tumours in people with BHD syndrome [64, 65], and, within the limited evidence available, appears to be safe and effective (R20). Further studies assessing the safety of thermal ablation in BHD syndromerelated renal cancer are needed.

DISCUSSION

This ERN GENTURIS guideline on BHD syndrome covering aspects of the diagnosis, genetic counselling, surveillance, and clinical

management of BHD syndrome was developed from the best available evidence and the consensus of experts with input from patients and a patient advocate group. The ERN GENTURIS guidelines have similiarities to previous reports on the management of BHD syndrome. The clinical diagnostic criteria for BHD syndrome suggested by the EBHDC [4] were adopted both for the current consensus (R4b) and others [60] though a specification that the diagnostic criteria for lung cysts should include development before 40 years of age has been reported [2]. Annual MRI starting at age 20 years for renal tumour surveillance is similar to the EBHDC recommendation [4] though longer scanning intervals have been mentioned by some groups e.g. "at least every 36 months" for MRI scans [50, 62, 66]. The "3 cm rule" with nephron-sparing intervention for the management of renal tumours in BHD syndrome has also been broadly agreed [2, 4, 39, 62, 66]. Sriram et al. have suggested that a chest CT scan should be performed on all patients with a primary spontaneous pneumothorax and that genetic testing for FLCN PV should then be considered in those found to have multiple pulmonary cysts [67]. Gupta et al. concluded that patients with BHD syndrome should be reassured that BHD syndromeassociated cystic lung disease typically does not result in respiratory failure but patients with pulmonary impairment should be followed up by a pulmonary physician with periodic assessment of pulmonary function. They also recommended evaluation by a lung specialist prior to air travel if there was evidence of pulmonary impairment or extensive cystic lung disease or prior pneumothorax and that patients should not travel when suffering from unexplained chest pain or dyspnoea [20]. Schmidt et al. noted that the World Recreational Scuba Training Council had recommended that a history of spontaneous pneumothorax should be a contraindication to scuba diving (even if following pleurodesis) [2]. In addition, it has been recommended that smoking should be discouraged in all cases [60].

BHD syndrome is a multisystem disorder and it is important that a named clinician should take responsibility for ensuring the overall coordination of surveillance and clinical management. A diagnosis of BHD syndrome may be associated with psychological challenges and socioeconomic hardships, though the occurence of these differs between individuals. Potential psychological effects can include anxiety related to uncertainty about future health problems and/or fear of developing cancer. Coping with a chronic health condition may trigger or exacerbate depression and, particularly when facial FF are numerous, there may be concerns about body image with self consciousness about physical appearance leading to social withdrawal and depression. BHD syndrome, like other inherited disorders, might impact on

family relationships and dynamics. Affected parents may be anxious about their untested children and feel guilty if their children become affected. Among siblings, unaffected relatives may feel guilty if their sibling is affected. Couples may feel stress and anxiety when making plans for starting a family and there may be emotional distress if there are differing perceptions of the implications of having an affected child and a lack of consensus over their reproductive options. Therefore addressing the psychological needs of patients and families with BHD syndrome should form a key element of holistic health care for BHD syndrome. Clinicians should be sensitive to indicators of anxiety, depression, emotional distress etc, enquire about wellbeing at each clinical contact and organise appropriate referral for professional support as required. Peer-to-peer support through patient support groups can also play a key role in maintaining wellbeing.

As with many other rare diseases, a limitation to the development of consensus guidelines was the lack of research evidence for different areas of BHD syndrome management (e.g. the psychological consequences of BHD syndrome and for approaches to ameliorate them). The formulation of these guidelines for the diagnosis and management of BHD syndrome inevitably highlighted those areas in which further research is required. These are described in the full guideline document, which is available on the ERN GENTURIS website. Although these guidelines will inevitably require revision in the light of future new evidence, the current recommendations have high levels of agreement from clinical experts and patient representatives and should enhance the care of individuals affected by, or at risk of, BHD syndrome.

REFERENCES

- Nickerson ML, Warren MB, Toro JR, Matrosova V, Glenn G, Turner ML, et al. Mutations in a novel gene lead to kidney tumors, lung wall defects, and benign tumors of the hair follicle in patients with the Birt-Hogg-Dube syndrome. Cancer Cell. 2002;2:157–64.
- Schmidt LS, Linehan WM. Clinical features, genetics and potential therapeutic approaches for Birt-Hogg-Dube syndrome. Expert Opin Orphan Drugs. 2015;3:15–29.
- 3. Toro JR, Wei MH, Glenn GM, Weinreich M, Toure O, Vocke C, et al. BHD mutations, clinical and molecular genetic investigations of Birt-Hogg-Dube syndrome: a new series of 50 families and a review of published reports. J Med Genet. 2008;45:321–31.
- Menko FH, van Steensel MA, Giraud S, Friis-Hansen L, Richard S, Ungari S, et al. Birt-Hogg-Dube syndrome: diagnosis and management. Lancet Oncol. 2009;10:1199–206.
- Vocke CD, Yang Y, Pavlovich CP, Schmidt LS, Nickerson ML, Torres-Cabala CA, et al. High frequency of somatic frameshift BHD gene mutations in Birt-Hogg-Dube-associated renal tumors. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2005;97:931–5.
- Baba M, Furihata M, Hong SB, Tessarollo L, Haines DC, Southon E, et al. Kidneytargeted Birt-Hogg-Dube gene inactivation in a mouse model: Erk1/2 and AktmTOR activation, cell hyperproliferation, and polycystic kidneys. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2008;100:140–54.
- Napolitano G, Di Malta C, Esposito A, de Araujo MEG, Pece S, Bertalot G, et al. A substrate-specific mTORC1 pathway underlies Birt-Hogg-Dube syndrome. Nature. 2020;585:597–602.
- 8. Sattler EC, Steinlein OK Birt-Hogg-Dubé Syndrome. In: Adam MP, Mirzaa GM, Pagon RA, editors. GeneReviews®. Seattle (WA) 2006.
- Savatt JM, Shimelis H, Moreno-De-Luca A, Strande NT, Oetjens MT, Ledbetter DH, et al. Frequency of truncating FLCN variants and Birt-Hogg-Dube-associated phenotypes in a health care system population. Genet Med. 2022;24:1857–66.
- Bruinsma FJ, Dowty JG, Win AK, Goddard LC, Agrawal P, Attina D, et al. Update of penetrance estimates in Birt-Hogg-Dube syndrome. J Med Genet. 2023;60:317–26.
- 11. Lim D The Clinical and Molecular Genetic Investigation of Genetic Conditions Predisposing to Kidney Cancers. Birmingham: University of Birmingham; 2016.
- Tong Y, Schneider JA, Coda AB, Hata TR, Cohen PR. Birt-Hogg-Dube Syndrome: A Review of Dermatological Manifestations and Other Symptoms. Am J Clin Dermatol. 2018;19:87–101.

- Starink TM, Houweling AC, van Doorn MB, Leter EM, Jaspars EH, van Moorselaar RJ, et al. Familial multiple discoid fibromas: a look-alike of Birt-Hogg-Dube syndrome not linked to the FLCN locus. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2012;66:259 e1–9.
- van de Beek I, Glykofridis IE, Tanck MWT, Luijten MNH, Starink TM, Balk JA, et al. Familial multiple discoid fibromas is linked to a locus on chromosome 5 including the FNIP1 gene. J Hum Genet. 2023;68:273–9.
- van de Beek I, Glykofridis IE, Oosterwijk JC, van den Akker PC, Diercks GFH, Bolling MC, et al. PRDM10 directs FLCN expression in a novel disorder overlapping with Birt-Hogg-Dube syndrome and familial lipomatosis. Hum Mol Genet. 2023;32:1223–35.
- Schmidt LS, Vocke CD, Ricketts CJ, Blake Z, Choo KK, Nielsen D, et al. PRDM10 RCC: a Birt-Hogg-Dube-like syndrome associated with lipoma and highly penetrant, aggressive renal tumors morphologically resembling type 2 papillary renal cell carcinoma. Urology. 2023;179:58–70.
- Toro JR, Pautler SE, Stewart L, Glenn GM, Weinreich M, Toure O, et al. Lung cysts, spontaneous pneumothorax, and genetic associations in 89 families with Birt-Hogg-Dube syndrome. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2007;175:1044–53.
- Scott RM, Henske EP, Raby B, Boone PM, Rusk RA, Marciniak SJ. Familial pneumothorax: towards precision medicine. Thorax. 2018;73:270–6.
- Matsumoto K, Lim D, Pharoah PD, Maher ER, Marciniak SJ. A systematic review assessing the existence of pneumothorax-only variants of FLCN. Implications for lifelong surveillance of renal tumours. Eur J Hum Genet. 2021;29:1595–600.
- Zbar B, Alvord WG, Glenn G, Turner M, Pavlovich CP, Schmidt L, et al. Risk of renal and colonic neoplasms and spontaneous pneumothorax in the Birt-Hogg-Dube syndrome. Cancer Epidemiol Biomark Prev. 2002;11:393

 –400.
- Bessis D, Giraud S, Richard S. A novel familial germline mutation in the initiator codon of the BHD gene in a patient with Birt-Hogg-Dube syndrome. Br J Dermatol. 2006;155:1067–9.
- Sattler EC, Syunyaeva Z, Mansmann U, Steinlein OK. Genetic risk factors for spontaneous pneumothorax in Birt-Hogg-Dube syndrome. Chest. 2020;157:1199–206.
- 23. Yang J, Hu X, Li J, Zhang G, Ge Y, Wei W. Correlative analysis of lung CT findings in patients with Birt-Hogg-Dube syndrome and the occurrence of spontaneous pneumothorax: a preliminary study. BMC Med Imaging. 2022;22:22.
- Tobino K, Gunji Y, Kurihara M, Kunogi M, Koike K, Tomiyama N, et al. Characteristics of pulmonary cysts in Birt-Hogg-Dube syndrome: thin-section CT findings of the chest in 12 patients. Eur J Radio. 2011;77:403–9.
- Schneider M, Dinkelborg K, Xiao X, Chan-Smutko G, Hruska K, Huang D, et al. Early onset renal cell carcinoma in an adolescent girl with germline FLCN exon 5 deletion. Fam Cancer. 2018:17:135–9.
- 26. Pavlovich CP, Walther MM, Eyler RA, Hewitt SM, Zbar B, Linehan WM, et al. Renal tumors in the Birt-Hogg-Dube syndrome. Am J Surg Pathol. 2002;26:1542–52.
- Sattler EC, Reithmair M, Steinlein OK. Kidney cancer characteristics and genotypephenotype-correlations in Birt-Hogg-Dube syndrome. PLoS One. 2018:13:e0209504.
- Benusiglio PR, Giraud S, Deveaux S, Mejean A, Correas JM, Joly D, et al. Renal cell tumour characteristics in patients with the Birt-Hogg-Dube cancer susceptibility syndrome: a retrospective, multicentre study. Orphanet J Rare Dis. 2014;9:163.
- Pavlovich CP, Grubb RL 3rd, Hurley K, Glenn GM, Toro J, Schmidt LS, et al. Evaluation and management of renal tumors in the Birt-Hogg-Dube syndrome. J Urol. 2005;173:1482–6.
- Bratslavsky G, Mendhiratta N, Daneshvar M, Brugarolas J, Ball MW, Metwalli A, et al. Genetic risk assessment for hereditary renal cell carcinoma: Clinical consensus statement. Cancer. 2021;127:3957–66.
- Yngvadottir B, Andreou A, Bassaganyas L, Larionov A, Cornish AJ, Chubb D, et al. Frequency of pathogenic germline variants in cancer susceptibility genes in 1336 renal cell carcinoma cases. Hum Mol Genet. 2022;31:3001–11.
- Schmidt LS, Nickerson ML, Warren MB, Glenn GM, Toro JR, Merino MJ, et al. Germline BHD-mutation spectrum and phenotype analysis of a large cohort of families with Birt-Hogg-Dube syndrome. Am J Hum Genet. 2005;76:1023–33.
- Benhammou JN, Vocke CD, Santani A, Schmidt LS, Baba M, Seyama K, et al. Identification of intragenic deletions and duplication in the FLCN gene in Birt-Hogg-Dube syndrome. Genes Chromosomes Cancer. 2011;50:466–77.
- Smith PS, Whitworth J, West H, Cook J, Gardiner C, Lim DHK, et al. Characterization of renal cell carcinoma-associated constitutional chromosome abnormalities by genome sequencing. Genes Chromosomes Cancer. 2020;59:333–47.
- 35. Schmidt LS, Linehan WM. FLCN: the causative. gene Birt-Hogg-Dube Syndr gene 2018;640:28–42.
- Borry P, Evers-Kiebooms G, Cornel MC, Clarke A, Dierickx K, Public, Professional Policy Committee of the European Society of Human G. Genetic testing in asymptomatic minors: background considerations towards ESHG Recommendations. Eur J Hum Genet. 2009;17:711–9.
- Clarke AJ. Managing the ethical challenges of next-generation sequencing in genomic medicine. Br Med Bull. 2014;111:17–30.

- 38. Painter JN, Tapanainen H, Somer M, Tukiainen P, Aittomaki K. A 4-bp deletion in the Birt-Hogg-Dube gene (FLCN) causes dominantly inherited spontaneous pneumothorax. Am J Hum Genet. 2005;76:522–7.
- 39. Maher ER. Hereditary renal cell carcinoma syndromes: diagnosis, surveillance and management. World J Urol. 2018;36:1891–8.
- Choyke PL, Glenn GM, Walther MM, Zbar B, Linehan WM. Hereditary renal cancers. Radiology. 2003;226:33–46.
- Johannesma PC, van de Beek I, van der Wel T, Reinhard R, Rozendaal L, Starink TM, et al. Renal imaging in 199 Dutch patients with Birt-Hogg-Dube syndrome: Screening compliance and outcome. PLoS One. 2019;14:e0212952.
- Nahorski MS, Lim DH, Martin L, Gille JJ, McKay K, Rehal PK, et al. Investigation of the Birt-Hogg-Dube tumour suppressor gene (FLCN) in familial and sporadic colorectal cancer. J Med Genet. 2010;47:385–90.
- Sattler EC, Syunyaeva Z, Reithmair M, Dempke W, Steinlein OK. Colorectal cancer risk in families with Birt-Hogg-Dube syndrome increased. Eur J Cancer. 2021;151:168–74.
- van de Beek I, Glykofridis IE, Wolthuis RMF, Gille H, Johannesma PC, Meijers-Heijboer HEJ, et al. No evidence for increased prevalence of colorectal carcinoma in 399 Dutch patients with Birt-Hogg-Dube syndrome. Br J Cancer. 2020:122:590–4.
- Sattler EC, Ertl-Wagner B, Pellegrini C, Peris K, Reithmair M, Schadle N, et al. Cutaneous melanoma in Birt-Hogg-Dube syndrome: part of the clinical spectrum? Br J Dermatol. 2018;178:e132–3.
- Lindor NM, Kasperbauer J, Lewis JE, Pittelkow M. Birt-Hogg-Dube syndrome presenting as multiple oncocytic parotid tumors. Hered Cancer Clin Pr. 2012;10:13
- Maffe A, Toschi B, Circo G, Giachino D, Giglio S, Rizzo A, et al. Constitutional FLCN mutations in patients with suspected Birt-Hogg-Dube syndrome ascertained for non-cutaneous manifestations. Clin Genet. 2011;79:345–54.
- Kluger N, Giraud S, Coupier I, Avril MF, Dereure O, Guillot B, et al. Birt-Hogg-Dube syndrome: clinical and genetic studies of 10 French families. Br J Dermatol. 2010;162:527–37.
- Benusiglio PR, Gad S, Massard C, Carton E, Longchampt E, Faudot T, et al. Case report: expanding the tumour spectrum associated with the Birt-Hogg-Dube cancer susceptibility syndrome. F1000Res. 2014;3:159.
- Lattouf JB, Pautler SE, Reaume MN, Kim RH, Care M, Green J, et al. Structured assessment and followup for patients with hereditary kidney tumour syndromes. Can Urol Assoc J. 2016;10:E214–22.
- Kluger N, Letois F, Picot MC, Guillot B, Bessis D. How much disability is caused by fibrofolliculomas during Birt-Hogg-Dube Syndrome? J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol. 2011;25:940–4.
- 52. Farrant PB, Emerson R. Letter: hyfrecation and curettage as a treatment for fibrofolliculomas in Birt-Hogg-Dube syndrome. Dermatol Surg. 2007;33:1287–8.
- Gijezen LM, Vernooij M, Martens H, Oduber CE, Henquet CJ, Starink TM, et al. Topical rapamycin as a treatment for fibrofolliculomas in Birt-Hogg-Dube syndrome: a double-blind placebo-controlled randomized split-face trial. PLoS One. 2014:9:e99071.
- Gupta N, Kopras EJ, Henske EP, James LE, El-Chemaly S, Veeraraghavan S, et al. Spontaneous pneumothoraces in patients with Birt-Hogg-Dube syndrome. Ann Am Thorac Soc. 2017;14:706–13.
- Park HJ, Chae EJ, Do KH, Lee SM, Song JW. Differentiation between Lymphangioleiomyomatosis and Birt-Hogg-Dube syndrome: analysis of pulmonary Cysts on CT Images. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2019;212:766–72.
- Davidsen JR, Bendstrup E, Henriksen DP, Graumann O, Laursen CB. Lung ultrasound has limited diagnostic value in rare cystic lung diseases: a cross-sectional study. Eur Clin Respir J. 2017;4:1330111.
- 57. Daccord C, Cottin V, Prevot G, Uzunhan Y, Mornex JF, Bonniaud P, et al. Lung function in Birt-Hogg-Dube syndrome: a retrospective analysis of 96 patients. Orphanet J Rare Dis. 2020;15:120.
- Johannesma PC, van de Beek I, van der Wel JW, Paul MA, Houweling AC, Jonker MA, et al. Risk of spontaneous pneumothorax due to air travel and diving in patients with Birt-Hogg-Dube syndrome. Springerplus. 2016;5:1506.
- van Riel L, van Hulst RA, van Hest L, van Moorselaar R, Boerrigter BG, Franken SM, et al. Recommendations on scuba diving in Birt-Hogg-Dube syndrome. Expert Rev Respir Med. 2023;17:1003–8.
- Gupta N, Seyama K, McCormack FX. Pulmonary manifestations of Birt-Hogg-Dube syndrome. Fam Cancer. 2013;12:387–96.
- Duffey BG, Choyke PL, Glenn G, Grubb RL, Venzon D, Linehan WM, et al. The relationship between renal tumor size and metastases in patients with von Hippel-Lindau disease. J Urol. 2004;172:63–5.
- Stamatakis L, Metwalli AR, Middelton LA, Marston Linehan W. Diagnosis and management of BHD-associated kidney cancer. Fam Cancer. 2013;12:397–402.
- Alam R, Patel HD, Osumah T, Srivastava A, Gorin MA, Johnson MH, et al. Comparative effectiveness of management options for patients with small renal masses: a prospective cohort study. BJU Int. 2019;123:42–50.

- Matsui Y, Hiraki T, Gobara H, Iguchi T, Tomita K, Uka M, et al. Percutaneous thermal ablation for renal cell carcinoma in patients with Birt-Hogg-Dube syndrome. Diagn Inter Imaging. 2019;100:671–7.
- Bodard S, Boudhabhay I, Dariane C, Delavaud C, Guinebert S, Joly D, et al. Percutaneous thermal ablation for renal tumors in patients with Birt-Hogg-Dube Syndrome. Cancers (Basel). 2022;14:4969.
- Singh S, Chaurasia A, Gopal N, Malayeri A, Ball MW. Treatment strategies for hereditary kidney cancer: current recommendations and updates. Discov Med. 2022;34:205–20.
- Sriram JD, van de Beek I, Johannesma PC, van Werkum MH, van der Wel T, Wessels EM, et al. Birt-Hogg-Dube syndrome in apparent primary spontaneous pneumothorax patients; results and recommendations for clinical practice. BMC Pulm Med. 2022:22:325.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank Manon Engels and Jurriaan Hölzenspies for logistic support, coordination of the guideline committee meetings and facilitating the guideline development process as well as SQ.RT for providing a literature review at the onset of the development of this guideline. They also acknowledge their colleagues from the ERN GENTURIS for fruitful discussions and suggestions. A special thanks to all the (external) experts who participated in the modified Delphi survey (in alphabetical order): Christos Aravidis^{1,2}, Judith Balmaña^{3,4}, Irma van Beek⁵, Elisabeth Bendstrup^{6,5} Patrick Benusiglio⁸, Aude Beyens^{9,10}, Rupesh I. Bhatt¹¹, Ignacio Blanco¹², Bart Boerrigter¹³, Raphael Borie^{14,15}, Jacques Cadranel¹⁶, Tim van Damme⁹, Jesper R. Davidsen¹⁷, Arndt Hartmann¹⁸, Frederik Hes¹⁹, Arjan C. Houweling²⁰, Giovanni Innella^{21,22}, Pedram Kharaziha¹, Christian Kratz²³, Romain Lazor²⁴, Per-Olof Lundgren^{25,26}, Thomas Malfait²⁷, Stefan J. Marciniak^{28,29,30}, Maria Molina-Molina³¹, Roman-Ulrich Müller³², Veronika Müller³³, Ira Neri³⁴, Pieter E. Postmus³⁵, Minna Pöyhönen^{36,37}, Charles Van Praet³⁸, Irene Prediletto³⁹, Robin de Putter⁹, Lore van Riel²⁰, Elke Sattler⁴⁰, Jacobo Sellarés Torres⁴¹, Maja P. Smerdel⁴², Ortrud Steinlein⁴³, Grant D. Stewart⁴⁴, Stefanos Tsiaprazis⁴⁵, Pia Vihinen⁴⁶. ¹Department of Clinical Genetics, Uppsala University Hospital, Uppsala, Sweden; ²Department of Immunology, Genetics and Pathology, Uppsala University, Science for Life Laboratory, Uppsala, Sweden; ³Hereditary Cancer Genetics Group, Vall d'Hebron Institute of Oncology (VHIO), Barcelona, Spain; ⁴Medical Oncology Department, Hospital Universitari Vall d'Hebron, Barcelona, Spain; ⁵Netherlands Cancer institute, AVL, familial cancer clinic, Amsterdam, Netherlands; ⁶Centre for Rare Lung Diseases, Department of Respiratory Diseases and Allergy, Aarhus University Hospital, Aarhus, Denmark; ⁷Department of Clinical Medicine, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark; ⁸Department of Medical Genetics: Assistance Publique - Hopitaux de Paris (AP-HP). Sorbonne University, Paris, France; 9Centre for Medical Genetics Ghent, Ghent University Hospital, Ghent, Belgium: 10 Department of Dermatology, Ghent University Hospital, Ghent, Belgium: ¹¹Queen Elizabeth Hospital, Edgbaston Birmingham, UK; ¹²Clinical Genetics Department, Hospital Germans Trias I Pujol, Barcelona, Spain; ¹³AmsterdamUMC, location Vumc, pulmonary diseases, Amsterdam, Netherlands; ¹⁴Service de Pneumologie A Hôpital Bichat, APHP, Paris, France; 15 Université Paris Cité, Inserm, PHERE, Paris, France; ¹⁶Pulmonology and Thoracic Oncology Department, Hôpital Tenon APHP, Sorbonne University, Paris, France; ¹⁷Department of Respiratory Medicine, Odense University Hospital, Odense, Denmark; ¹⁸Institute of Pathology, University Erlangen-Nuremberg, Erlangen, Germany; ¹⁹Centre for Medical Genetics, UZ Brussel, Brussel, Belgium; ²⁰Department of Human Genetics, Amsterdam UMC, Amsterdam, Netherlands: 21Department of Medical and Surgical Sciences, Centre for Studies on Hereditary Cancer, University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy; ²²Unit of Medical Genetics, IRCCS AOU di Bologna, Bologna, Italy; ²³Hannover Medical School, Department of Paediatric Haematology and Oncology, Hannover, Germany; ²⁴Department of Respiratory Medicine, Lausanne University Hospital, Lausanne, Switzerland; ²⁵Department of Urology, Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden; ²⁶Department for Clinical Science, Intervention and Technology, Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden; ²⁷Department of Respiratory Medicine, University Hospital Ghent, Ghent, Belgium; ²⁸Cambridge Institute for Medical Research, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK; ²⁹Department of Respiratory Medicine, Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Cambridge, UK; 30Respiratory Medicine, Royal Papworth Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, Cambridge, UK; ³¹Bellvitge Biomedical Research Institute (IDIBELL), University Hospital of Bellvitge, Barcelona, Spain; ³²Department of Internal Medicine, University of Cologne, Cologne, Germany; ³³Semmelweis University, Budapest, Hungary; ³⁴Department of Dermatology, IRCCS AOU di Bologna, Bologna, Italy; 35Department of Pulmonary Diseases, Leiden University Medical Centre, Leiden, Netherlands; ³⁶Department of Genetics, Diagnostic Centre, Helsinki University Hospital, Helsinki, Finland; 37Department of Medical and Clinical Genetics, Helsinki University, Helsinki, Finland; ³⁸Department of Urology, Ghent University Hospital, Ghent, Belgium; ³⁹Department of Experimental, Diagnostic and Specialty Medicine, Respiratory and Critical Care Unit, Sant'Orsola-Malpighi University Hospital, Bologna, Italy; ⁴⁰Department of Dermatology and Allergy, LMU klinikum, Munich, Germany; 41 Servei de Pneumologia, Hospital Clinic, Barcelona,

Spain; ⁴²Department of Clinical Genetics, Lillebælt Hospital, University Hospital of Southern Denmark, Vejle, Denmark; ⁴³Institute of Human Genetics, LMU klinikum, Munich, Germany; ⁴⁴Department of Surgery, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK; ⁴⁵Department of Immunology, Genetics and Pathology, Rudbeck Laboratory, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden; ⁴⁶Department of Oncology and FICAN West Cancer Centre, Turku University Hospital and University of Turku, Turku, Finland. Marianne Geilswijk, Maurizio Genuardi, Emma R. Woodward, Mia Gebauer Madsen, Fred H. Menko, Mette Sommerlund, Nataliya Di Donato, Eamonn R. Maher, Joan Brunet are Members of the European Reference Network on Genetic Tumour Risk Syndromes (ERN GENTURIS).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

The BHD syndrome GG consisted of MGM, DLH, IL, JMB, CMC, FM, RJAM, ER, NR, MS, SR and MTAW, including the Core Working Group consisting of MGei, MGen, EW, KN, JH, EM, NDD and JB. The BHD syndrome GG drafted and agreed on the final recommendations that were included in the Delphi survey. The BHD syndrome GG as well as 40 additional clinicians with expertise in BHD syndrome (these clinicians and their affiliations are listed in Acknowledgements) participated in the Delphi survey. All listed authors commented on drafts and agreed with the final paper.

FUNDING

This guideline has been supported by the European Reference Network on Genetic Tumour Risk Syndromes (ERN GENTURIS). ERN GENTURIS is funded by the European Union. For more information about the ERNs and the EU health strategy, please visit http://ec.europa.eu/health/ern.

COMPETING INTERESTS

All members of the ERN GENTURIS BHD Guideline Group, including the Core Working Group, have provided disclosure statements on all relationships that they have that might be perceived to be a potential source of a conflict of interest. Core Working Group patient representatives KN and JH were employed by the Myrovlytis Trust. MGM reports receipt of honoraria or consultation fees from Ipsen. CMC reports receipt of research funding from Boehringer Ingelheim, honoraria or consultation fees from Savara Inc, Theravance, Al Therapeutics, Roche, Aerogen as well as grants from Health Research Board, LAM Foundation and Enterprise Ireland. NR reports participation in a company sponsored speaker's bureau from Takeda UK. MTAW reports receipt of honoraria or consultation fees from Microsoft. All participants of the ERN GENTURIS BHD syndrome Delphi survey have provided disclosure statements on all relationships that they have that might be perceived to be a potential source of competing interests. Patrick Benusiglio reports receipt of honoraria or consultation fees from AstraZeneca, Bristol Myers Squibb and Merck Sharp & Dohme. Raphael Borie reports receipt of grants/research support from Roche and Boehringer Ingelheim, as well as receipt of honoraria or consultation fees from Roche, Boehringer Ingelheim and Sanofi. Jesper Rømhild Davidsen reports receipt of honoraria or consultation fees from Boehringer Ingelheim. Arndt Hartmann reports receipt of grants/research support from AstraZeneca, Biocartis, Biontech, Cepheid, Gilead, Illumina, Janssen, Nanostring, Novartis, Owkin, Qiagen, QUIP GmbH, Roche and Sanofi, as well as receipt of honoraria or consultation fees from Abbvie, AstraZeneca, Biocartis, Biontech, BMS, Boehringer Ingelheim, Cepheid, Diaceutics, Gilead, Illumina, Ipsen, Janssen, Lilly, MSD, Nanostring, Novartis, Qiagen, QUIP GmbH, Roche, Sanofi and 3DHistotech. Arjan C. Houweling reports receipt of a research grant from the Myrovlytis Trust. Stefan J. Marciniak reports receipt of grants/research support from the Myrovlytis Trust, Asthma+Lung UK, Alpha 1 Foundation, the Medical Research Council (MRC) UK, and the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC). Maria Molina-Molina reports receipt of grants/research support from Boehringer Ingelheim and Roche, as well as receipt of honoraria or consultation fees from Ferrer, Chiesi, Boehringer Ingelheim, Roche and Esteve-Teijin. Charles Van Praet reports receipt of honoraria or consultation fees from Astellas, IPSEN and Baxter Robin de Putter reports receipt of honoraria or consultation fees from AstraZeneca. Lore van Riel reports receipt of a research grant from the Myrovlytis Trust. Jacobo Sellarés Torres reports receipt of grants/research support from Roche and Boehringer Ingelheim, receipt of honoraria or consultation fees from Boehringer Ingelheim, as well as participation in a speaker's bureau sponsored by Boehringer Ingelheim. Grant D. Stewart reports receipt of grants/research support from AstraZeneca and Pfizer, receipt of honoraria or consultation fees from Pfizer, Merck, EUSA Pharma and Eisai, as well as participation in a speaker's bureau sponsored by Eisai.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Marianne Geilswijk.

Reprints and permission information is available at http://www.nature.com/reprints

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing,

adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2024